

1. In class, we went through a proof that a DFA $M = (\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}, \Sigma, \delta, q_1, F)$ can be converted into an equivalent regular expression. During this proof we defined some sets R_{ij}^k and proved, using induction, that they were all regular.

- 1.1. Define the sets R_{ij}^k . An informal definition will suffice.

[5 points]

Solution: R_{ij}^k is the set of all strings in Σ^* that take M from state q_i to state q_j without ever passing through a state numbered higher than q_k . Here, “passing through” means entering and then leaving.

- 1.2. Write down a system of equations which inductively, and completely, specifies every set R_{ij}^k . Make sure your equations cover all the base cases and all the induction steps. You do not need to prove that your equations are correct.

[10 points]

Solution: Here are the desired equations:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij}^0 &= \{a \in \Sigma : \delta(q_i, a) = q_j\}, & \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } i \neq j, \\ R_{ii}^0 &= \{\varepsilon\} \cup \{a \in \Sigma : \delta(q_i, a) = q_i\}, & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ R_{ij}^k &= R_{ij}^{k-1} \cup R_{ik}^{k-1} (R_{kk}^{k-1})^* R_{kj}^{k-1}, & \text{for } i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Give a *one sentence* proof that any NFA can be converted into an equivalent NFA that has no ϵ -transitions. Feel free to use results proved in class.


[10 points]

Solution: As proved in class, any NFA can be converted into an equivalent DFA, which is, of course, automatically an NFA without ϵ -transitions.

3. Draw an NFA that recognizes the language $\{a^i b^j c^k : i \geq 2, j \geq 1, k \geq 0\}$. Keep it simple!

[10 points]

Solution:



4. Consider the language $L = (1^*01^*0)^*1^* \cup (0 \cup 1)^*001$.

4.1. Describe L in plain English.


[10 points]

Solution: L is the set of all strings over $\{0, 1\}$ that either contain an even number of 0's or end in 001.

4.2. Draw an NFA that has at most 7 states and recognizes L .

[10 points]

Solution:




5. For a string $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, let $N_0(x)$ and $N_1(x)$ denote the number of 0's and 1's (respectively) in x . Of the following two languages, exactly one is regular. Specify which language is regular and prove that it is so. You do not have to give a proof that the other language is not regular.

$$L_1 = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^* : |N_0(x) - N_1(x)| = 5\},$$
$$L_2 = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^* : |N_0(x) - N_1(x)| \text{ is divisible by } 5\}.$$

[15 points]

Solution: The language L_2 is regular, because it is recognized by the following DFA.



The language L_1 is not regular. You did not have to prove this, but you should be able to do so by using the pumping lemma to try to pump the string $0^{p+5}1^p \in L_1$, where p is the hypothetical pumping length of L_1 .