

Lecture 8

Wednesday, April 19, 2017 3:18 PM

Set Balancing

Input: Sets $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m \subseteq [n]$

Output: $\sigma : [n] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} / \{\text{red, blue}\}$

Objective: - \rightarrow Find a signing which is as balanced as possible

Formally,

$$\text{Minimize } \max_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j \in S_i} \sigma(j) \right|$$

Quantity is called the Discrepancy of the Set-syst.

⊛ This lecture we will work with the case when any element is in at most d -sets

• Casting as an Integer Program:

Min T

$$\sum_{j \in S_i} \sigma_j \leq T, \quad \forall i=1, \dots, m$$

$$\sum_{j \in S_i} \sigma_j \geq -T, \quad \forall i=1, \dots, m$$

$$\sigma_j \in \{-1, 1\}$$

\downarrow

$$-1 \leq \sigma_j \leq 1$$

→ What is the value of T ? $T=0$ when $\sigma=0$

Algorithm

→ Maintain a collⁿ of "safe" sets, $A \stackrel{\text{init}}{=} \emptyset$

→ Maintain a collⁿ of "set" vars, $I \stackrel{\text{init}}{=} \emptyset$

// Ultimately $I = [n]$

→ Define a "surplus" vector $s \in \mathbb{R}^m$, a cov for every set, $s \stackrel{\text{init}}{=} \text{all-zeros}$.

→ Consider $LP(A, I)$:

$$\{ x \in [-1, 1]^{[n] \setminus I} :$$

$$\sum_{j \in S_i \setminus I} x_j = s_i, \quad \forall i \notin A$$

un-safe sets.

→ x be a bfs of $LP(A, I)$

→ **If**: $x_j = -1$ or $+1$, $I = I \cup j$ & $\sigma_j = x_j$

Case A

$$\forall i: j \in S_j, \quad s_i = s_i - x_j$$

// Inv: $\forall i \notin A, \sum_{j \in S_i \setminus I} x_j + \sum_{j \in S_i \setminus I} \sigma_j = 0$

if $x_j = 1$, then the remaining sum should try to be -1 , and v.v.

→ Else If: For some set S_i , $|S_i \setminus I| \leq d$

Case B

then $A = A \cup i$

We proclaim this set is safe. Why?

Note:

$$\sum x_i + \sum \sigma_i = 0$$

$$\sum_{j \in S_i \cap I} x_j + \sum_{j \in S_i \setminus I} \sigma_j = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \sum_{j \in S_i \setminus I} \sigma_j \right| \leq \left| \sum_{j \in S_i \cap I} x_j \right| < d$$

and since LHS is integer, $\leq d-1$

Ultimately, $j \in S_i \setminus I$ will get some σ_j 's;
but $\left| \sum_{j \in S_i \setminus I} \sigma_j \right| \leq d \quad \therefore |S_i \setminus I| \leq d$

$$\therefore \left| \sum_{j \in S_i} \sigma_j \right| \stackrel{\Delta\text{-ineq}}{\leq} \left| \sum_{j \in S_i \cap I} \sigma_j \right| + \left| \sum_{j \in S_i \setminus I} \sigma_j \right| \leq 2d-1$$



Claim:- The algorithm terminates with $\sigma_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ for all elements.

Pf:- It suffices to show one of case A or case B always occurs.

Suppose case (A) doesn't occur.

$$\therefore \left| \{j \mid 0 < x_j < 1\} \right| = \# \text{ of cols}$$

"rank ("constr. matrix")

^
of rows

But each col. has $\leq d$ ones (Problem..)

But each col. has $\leq d$ ones (Problem assumption)
Since # rows \geq # cols,
some row i has $\leq d$ ones

ie. $|S_i \setminus I| \leq d \Rightarrow$ Case (B) occurs \square

Claim :- At the end we have a $(2d-1)$ -balanced coloring / signing.

Pf :- The blue stuff above proves this for safe sets
- For un-safe sets, in-fact, we have perfect balance \square

Note :- This is not a standard Appx Algs.
We didn't compare ourselves with the "best-possible" balance. Rather we proved that ANY set-system with each element in at most d -sets has a $(2d-1)$ -balanced coloring.

It turns out that the q^n of whether there is a coloring with "perfect balance", i.e., whether $\exists \sigma: [n] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ st.

$\forall i, \sigma(S_i) = 0$, is in P , that is, it can be solved in poly-time.

\therefore If $OPT = 0$, there can be an $ALG = 0$

o/w $OPT \geq 1$, and our thm. gives a
 $(2d-1)$ -factor alg.