

# CS 49/149: Approximation Algorithms

Problem set 2. Due: 14th April, 6:59pm

**General small print:** Please submit all homework electronically in PDF format ideally typeset using LaTeX. You need to submit only the problems above the line. Please try to be concise – as a rule of thumb do not take more than 1 (LaTeX-ed) page for a solution. We highly encourage students to also do the problems below the line for a better understanding of the course material.

**Topics in this HW:** Local Search, Approximation Schemes

**Problem 1.** (Local Search for Directed Max-Cut.) Given a *directed* graph  $G = (V, E)$ , the dicut induced by  $S \subseteq V$  is the set of arcs  $\delta^{\text{out}}S := \{(u, v) \in E\}$ . The directed max-cut problem is to find the subset  $S$  maximizing  $|\delta^{\text{out}}S|$ . Consider the local search algorithm which adds/removes a vertex if it strictly increases the cut-size. Let  $A$  be the locally optimum cut returned by the algorithm.

First convince yourself that  $|\delta^{\text{out}}A|$  can be really bad compared to OPT.

**Prove** that  $\max(|\delta^{\text{out}}A|, |\delta^{\text{out}}\bar{A}|)$  is a 3-approximation, where  $\bar{A}$  is the complement of the set  $A$ .

For part credit prove it is a 4-approximation.

Hint: For the undirected cut we compared to the number of edges. Compare to the optimum cut instead.

**BONUS PROBLEM<sup>1</sup>:** Give a 3-approximation algorithm for the problem of maximizing a non-negative submodular function; note that this function needn't be monotone. Give a 2-approximation for maximizing non-negative, *symmetric* submodular functions. Symmetric functions satisfy  $f(S) = f(\bar{S})$  for all  $S \subseteq E$ . You may find the following property of submodular functions useful (indeed, this is often thought of as the definition):

$$\text{For any two subsets } S, T \subseteq E, \quad f(S) + f(T) \geq f(S \cup T) + f(S \cap T)$$

**Problem 2** (Local Search is 5-approximation for  $k$ -median). **Prove** that the local-search algorithm for  $k$ -median is a 5-approximation algorithm for the  $k$ -median problem.

In the analysis of the local search algorithm for  $k$ -median, we introduced the “nearest” map  $\phi : O \rightarrow A$  where for any  $i^* \in O$  the facility  $\phi(i^*)$  was the nearest facility in  $A$  to  $O$ . We considered the swaps  $(i^*, \phi(i^*))$ . We proved that the algorithm was a 3-approximation if  $\phi$  was one-to-one. There were *two* places where we used this property.

1. When we swapped  $i^*$  and  $i$ , for every client  $j \in \Gamma_i \setminus \Gamma_{i^*}$ , we were guaranteed that  $\phi(O(j))$  was in  $A - i + i^*$ .
2. When we summed the final inequalities for all  $i^* \in O$ , every client  $j \in \Gamma_i \setminus \Gamma_{i^*}$  was counted only once.

When  $\phi$  is not one-to-one, how will you construct the swaps? Think of swaps which satisfy property 1 above for sure, and maybe property 2 with every  $i \in A$  counted at most twice.

---

<sup>1</sup>Not mandatory, but extra points if you solve it.

**Problem 3.** In class, the running time of the PTAS for  $P||C_{max}$  was  $m^{2^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log^2(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}}$  and thus doubly exponential in  $1/\varepsilon$ . In this problem you need to come up with a PTAS whose running time is singly exponential in  $1/\varepsilon$ , that is of the form  $m^{O(1/\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon))}$ .

Recall the definition of feasible profiles  $\mathbf{v} := (v_1, \dots, v_N)$  with  $N \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log(1/\varepsilon)$ . Let  $\mathbb{V} := \{\mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{(M)}\}$  be the collection of all feasible profiles, with  $M \leq (1/\varepsilon)^N \leq 2^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log^2(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})}$ . Finally, recall the definition of histograms  $(h_1, \dots, h_M)$  where  $h_i$  is the number of machines getting the feasible profile  $\mathbf{v}^{(i)}$ .

**Prove** that if we find  $(h_1, \dots, h_M)$  which satisfies the following two conditions, then we can assign all the big jobs into  $m$  identical machines such that the total load from big jobs on any machine is at most  $OPT$ .

- a.  $\sum_{i=1}^M h_i = m$ .
- b.  $\sum_{i=1}^M h_i v_t^{(i)} = |B_t|, \forall 1 \leq t \leq N$ . Recall  $B_t$  is the subcollection of big jobs with the same cost.

In class we brute forced our way to get an  $m^M$  running time algorithm to find such an  $h$  – there were at most  $m^M$  many such histograms.

**Design and Analyze** a more efficient algorithm to find a feasible histogram if one exists. You should shoot for a running time of at most  $O(M) \cdot (m/\varepsilon)^N$  which is a singly-exponential PTAS.

**Problem 4 (Tight Examples).**

1. For the Local Search algorithm for Max- $k$ -coverage problem done in class, find a local optimum solution which is factor  $2 - o(1)$  away from the optimum solution.
2. For the  $k$ -median problem, find a local optimum solution which is factor  $5 - o(1)$  away from the optimum solution.

**Problem 5.** Consider the GREEDY algorithm for  $P||C_{max}$  when the jobs are considered in the non-decreasing order of  $p_j$ 's. What is the approximation factor of this algorithm? This is described in the Williamson-Shmoys textbook. Try to do this yourself first.

**Problem 6.** In the  $k$ -means problem, the input is the same as the  $k$ -median problem but the objective is to minimize  $\sum_{j \in C} d^2(j, \sigma(j))$ . What factor do you think the local-search algorithm for the  $k$ -means problem gives? Hint: The following inequality may be useful: for any two  $a, b$ , we have  $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ .

**Problem 7.** In the  $k$ -center problem, the input is the same as the  $k$ -median problem except the objective is to minimize  $\max_{j \in C} d(j, \sigma(j))$ . What algorithm can you design for the problem, and what approximation factor can you prove?