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ABSTRACT

AfterImage is an interactive wall consisted of light detecting units controlled by microprocessors. Each light-sensing unit determines user proximity through the intensity of the shadow being cast, turning these shadows into bright snapshots portrayed through built-in LED lights.

AfterImage extends the concept of memory to a space and location by imitating two unique characteristics of human memory: a lingering afterimage effect for intense stimuli, and a flashback of events that happened in the past. To create a more organic representation of flashbacks, a native data structure was explored.

Through the collection and reproduction of geospatial memory, AfterImage attempts to change a user’s perception of existence and connection between users across time. This paper discusses the development and production of AfterImage as a piece of public art.
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INTRODUCTION

Memory has always been a topic of interest to me. Some memories fade away or change with time, but some stay crystal clear in people’s minds. Some happenings in time are remembered as distinct memories, whereas some things are never noticed and forever lost. The same set of events can be remembered completely differently according to the person. But events of the past and their memories define a person’s present identity and existence. This fragile, volatile, yet impactful characteristic of memory is what makes it so precious and fascinating.

This past September, I was put in contact with the DartNets Lab at Dartmouth College. The lab had been looking for a way to create something different from the materials they had used to create LiSense, a testbed that utilizes visible light communication to recreate the three-dimensional skeleton of the user [Li et al., 2015]. When I was provided a set of phototransistors to work with, I took some time thinking about what role light had in
people’s everyday lives and how it can be tied to the topic of memory and existence.

Light is needed for vision, which allows people to perceive and recognize objects. An object communicates its existence in space by bouncing off light. A person’s spatial existence inadvertently changes the trajectory of the light rays and creates a shadow.

With the help of phototransistors and microprocessors, *AfterImage* embodies the idea of geospatial memory and existence. A acrylic sheet wall with light-detecting units acknowledges a user’s existence through the shadows and remembers the interactions that happened in the space right in front of it. Just like how a person recalls on a memory, *AfterImage* will sometimes replay the interactions with a user a while after the event happened.

You are sharing your space with someone who passed by some time before you. Although you may not be able to see them in the same space at the given moment, the previous people’s presence made a difference in that space. No matter how small or short-lived the change might have been, it definitely existed for that moment. And maybe, that change made by a stranger has become a part of someone’s memory. You, that might be a nobody, a stranger to someone, left something behind by just being there.

People usually expect machines to be fast, direct, and accurate. What would people feel in the case an unexpected action occurs in regards to using a machine? By extending the concept of memory to a space and location, an a set of lights and sensors embodying the idea of geospatial memory create a sense of existence and connection between users?
PREVIOUS WORK

Visible Light Communication  
*LiSense* is a system that enables real-time human skeleton reconstruction of the user standing on top of a 3 m by 3 m testbed [Li et al., 2015]. By using "light beacons" of various frequencies that shine on the testbed at different angles, the system is able to separate the shadows cast by the different light beacons and reconstruct the 3D user skeleton using shadow maps. The skeleton can then be used as a controller, allowing users to control devices or play games just with their body. *AfterImage* uses a similar approach of using the subject’s shadow to detect the user input, but is a standalone project with a built-in display that does not need the data to be sent to a different device. Also, unlike *LiSense*, *AfterImage* does not require a specific light setup, permitting the piece to be in a larger variety of spaces.

Collaboration and Art  
*CLOUD* is an interactive sculpture created from thousands of incandescent light bulbs and pull switches [Brown and Garrett, 2012]. By physically turning on and off the lights, the user could determine how the piece looked from the outside. At some instances, strangers would collaborate to turn all the switches on simultaneously. *CLOUD* was especially inspiring in showing the collaborative nature interactive art pieces could bring out in its users. *AfterImage* extends the idea of the user creating the art but facilitates the user’s involvement even more by a hands-free interaction. Also, the collaborative feature is made easier by making a user’s input last over time.

Interactive Sensor Art  
With increased access to sensors and systems, interactive art pieces utilizing user participation have been a popular topic of research for the past two decades.

*Hello.Wall* is a good example of an early approach to interactive art using computer systems in the wall format [Prante et al., 2003]. *Hello.Wall* is composed of 124 cells that include LED clusters and short-range transponders, making the wall a visual display and a
radio message transmitter and receiver. In order to properly interact with the wall, however, the user needs to be equipped with a radio device that is configured to work with the wall. Thus, a passerby with no prior knowledge of the wall will not be able to gain the full interactive experience. AfterImage can be interacted by anything with a physical form, providing a passerby-friendly experience.

Digiwall is a climbing wall that combines elements of computer gaming with the physical climbing experience [Liljedahl et al., 2005]. The climbing holds of Digiwall have LED lights that can serve as visual cues and capacitive sensors that allow the system to acknowledge when a certain hold is being handled. Using a combination of visual and aural methods, the system hosts several interaction models including games, competitions and challenges. This setup offers a rich physical interaction, but is highly goal-oriented and does not leave room for an ambient, reflective experience.

Transition Soundings is an example of a public sound art piece that uses proximity sensors and light sensors to create an immersive sound experience at a bus stop [Birchfield et al., 2006]. The case study highlights a few things to consider about interactive art that is different from static art that is hosted in galleries or museums. Since interactive art is prone to unexpected contact from the engagement of the viewers, more attention needs to be paid to maintenance and durability. The creators of Transition Soundings designed the system so that even when some parts of the piece break or malfunction, the rest of the piece continues to run smoothly. Also, there needs to be prior research and planning around the environment to ensure a meaningful user experience within the context. This is especially true for art hosted in public spaces since members of the audience might not be voluntarily “seeking an art experience.” The introduction of the piece allowed room for bus riders to rediscover a space that they are familiar with and even interact with strangers talking about the art. AfterImage has a similar goal, but instead of limiting the experience to current time, interactions from the past are stored and retrieved work together with interactions happening in the present, creating a collaboration that transcends time.
*Bystander* had a very careful approach using “moving bodies” as the primary input in their interactive experience, using infrared cameras to sense audience movement [Robertson et al., 2006]. The movement data was analyzed to determine the engagement of the users within the exhibition, and engaged users will be rewarded with more information. The creators of *Bystander* started with role playing, improvisation, and bodystorming to gather an idea of the kinds of movements that might occur in the space hosting the art. Movement-oriented personas and scenarios from these activities helped the designers gather ideas on how to orient the user experience and later enact scenarios based on movement schemas to carefully test the experience. Rather than collecting and analyzing the data over time, *AfterImage* offers a quick, direct response of the user input to incentivize the user and also offer a richer experience when they engage and spend more time with the piece.
IMPLEMENTATION

Shadow Detection

AfterImage is consisted of a 16 by 16 grid of breadboards arranged on a 6 foot by 6 foot acrylic sheet. Each breadboard—referenced in this paper as “pixels”—is equipped with a Darlington phototransistor (Honeywell SD3410-001) and a 5mm LED light diode. The whole piece is controlled by 22 Arduino Due units that govern twelve or less pixels.

The basic underlying mechanism of the interaction is simple: when an object exists in a space that is lit up, the physical form blocks light and creates a shadow. The closer the object is to the surface that the shadow is being created, the sharper and darker the shadow will be. Thus, by reading the amount of light that is blocked, the light sensors can serve as pseudo proximity sensors.

![Graph showing negative correlation between Arduino light reading and LED output voltage]

Figure 2: negative correlation between Arduino light reading and the LED output voltage

The main idea is to create a negative correlation between the light sensor output and the brightness of the LED lights—the closer the user, the stronger the shadow, and the brighter the pixel will light up. To accommodate for low density of the pixel grid, AfterImage uses a continuous variation in the brightness of the lights rather than binary on and off states.
This allows the display to have a fading effect that creates a more natural animation of the lights.

**Light Reading** To get data from the light sensors, *AfterImage* uses the same method from *LiSense*: “cascade the photodiode and a resistor and measure the resistor voltage,” which gives a value from 0 to 1023, darkest to lightest respectively [Li et al., 2015]. The specific phototransistor used for *AfterImage* has a field of vision of 90°, detecting light and shadows up to 45° from the straight line from its center. Because the wall is perpendicular to the floor and most indoor lights come from the ceiling, the wall would need to be placed at a location in which the light source is on the side of the acrylic sheet with the light sensors, and at some distance from the point directly above the wall for best results.

The light sensors are connected in a parallel circuit, sharing the same power source and ground. The Arduino Due operates at 3.3V, so the collector of the light sensor is connected to the 3.3V pin on the Arduino, which limits the Arduino reading to lower than the highest possible reading of 1023. In order to make sure that the readings are as accurate as possible, the light sensor side of the circuit is detached from the rest of the wiring, not sharing the power source nor the ground with the other elements such as the lights or the serial communication connections.

Although the Arduino readings through the phototransistor are directly connected to the perceived light, there are some technical errors to consider when using the data acquired through this method. When the light readings were taken in raw and mapped straight to the lights, some of the pixels had a very noticeable flicker. Plotting out the readings of a single pixel revealed a lot of noise that caused the output voltages on the lights to vary. The phenomenon is more visible when artificial light is used as the main source of light, due to the utility frequency of the power source of lights. Studies have proved that humans can usually perceive flickers at 50 to 90 Hz [Davis et al., 2015]. Although the utility frequency of 60 Hz may not be directly visible in a larger light source, the light mapped to the small
LED light was more evident.

To tackle this noise, simple moving average (SMA) and exponential moving average (EMA) equations were tested. Simple moving average and exponential moving average can be represented as the following:

\[
SMA_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{t-i}
\]

\[
EMA_t = \begin{cases} 
p_t, & \text{if } t = 1 \\
\alpha \cdot p_t + (1 - \alpha) \cdot EMA_{t-1}, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(1) (2)

where \( n \) is the sample size, \( \alpha \) is a constant smoothing factor between 0 and 1, and \( p_t \) is the value at time \( t \). When the \( \alpha \) is higher, the more weight is given to the most recent reading of \( p_t \).

Both methods offer effective smoothing, but with different characteristics. As it can be seen on figure 3, SMA tends to have a slower response time to changes in the reading, especially when the sample size is larger. EMA has a less delayed representation of the current input, but does not get affected by how long the trends lasted before the change in the input. A combination of these characteristics can be used to create appropriate visual effects.

**Initialization** *AfterImage* is built to be a movable device that could function in a variety of spaces. According to the time of day and how controlled the environment is, the intensity of ambient light changes, and the reference point in which the sensor readings gauge the validity of interaction will need to shift. It is important for the system to have proper reference to help a sensor determine if a particular light reading is significant enough to trigger a reaction.

Before any light readings happen, *AfterImage* goes through an initialization process to gain a sense of what the readings would be if there is no user interacting with the piece. The
initialization process, which happens when the device is turned on or when an Arduino is reset, collects the readings from each sensor for five seconds. During this time, the lowest reading is constantly updated, being recorded in the system as the low threshold. At the end of the initialization process, this lowest value is multiplied by a constant of 0.97 to lower the value slightly and leave room for error, such as a physical impact on the acrylic wall that may create additional noise.

This method of initialization creates a reference point that is valid as long as the ambient light of the space does not change. But when the piece is placed in a room with ambient light that is greatly influenced by light coming in from the window, the low threshold recorded in the beginning may not be relevant after a few minutes. A possible current fix is to restart the system and allow the initialization process to run again and update accordingly, but will cause the memory sequences saved for replay will be reset as well.
The Afterimage Effect

The visual effects of AfterImage are closely tied to the characteristics of memory. When a “memorable” event happens, people tend to mull over the events soon after they happen. This lasting of a stimulus after it stops is often observed as a visual phenomenon, such as camera flashes causing a dark spot in one’s vision for a while after the actual flashing. This phenomenon is known as physiological afterimages or persistence of vision [Gersztenkorn and Lee, 2015]. The name of AfterImage was inspired by this phenomenon.

To create a similar effect using the light readings, AfterImage uses a combination of the analog smoothing methods discussed earlier. Simple moving averages with a larger sample size can provide an insight into what trends happened over time. For example, if a series of low values was recorded for an extended period of time, the stored values will prevent the SMA from rising up too fast, remembering that the low trend happened for a significant while. In the case of AfterImage, there are two scenarios in which this delay can be seen: a delay in the downward trend when a shadow is introduced after a long time of high readings, and a delay in the rising of the value when a shadow is removed after an extended period of low readings.

In the first case, the delay in the downward reading causes the smoothed value to lie above the low threshold level for some time after the shadow is introduced. This delay will cause the lights to turn on after a noticeable delay, or even prevent the lights from turning on at all if the shadow is applied only for a short amount of time. For the average user engaging with AfterImage for the first time, this lag will cause confusion regarding the relationship between their actions and the visual outcome of the piece. Thus, the exponential moving average’s ability to reflect the most recent change in a more punctual manner is more appropriate.

In the second case, the delay in the simple moving average can be used to cause a delay in the turning off of the lights. Just like physiological afterimages caused by strong stimuli, the pixels will have a tendency to remember low readings that have been recorded over a
longer period of time. These lingering low readings will cause the lights to stay on for a while after the user leaves and fade out over time.

Figure 4: Various smoothing methods with the lowest reading bolded

Thus, by taking the lowest of the averages at a certain time, AfterImage can achieve both the prompt switching on of the lights and a lingering of the input to create the afterimage effect.

**Memory Replay**

Another characteristic of memory that AfterImage portrays is the ability to create memory flashbacks. In order to replay interactions from the past over the 22 separate Arduinos and make the best use of the limited amount of memory, AfterImage takes advantage of the Arduino’s ability to keep track of time and create a loop that runs based on an internal timer.

Human vision retains an individual visual stimulus for fifteenth of a second, perceiving
an image that is provided within fifteenth of a second as a continuation of the previous image [Read and Meyer, 2000]. Thus, a frame rate higher than 15 frames per second will cause a sequence of images to be seen as a continuous motion. By using the Arduino’s internal timer and providing the system with a new snapshot every 60 milliseconds, the stored memory can be replayed at around 17 frames per second, which is sufficient to create a sense of continuous motion.

Instead of storing each and every moment of an interaction, AfterImage optimizes memory usage by storing snapshots at these 60 millisecond intervals. Because the low threshold of the system can change at different times, a light reading at one point may be above or below the low threshold of the session. Thus, the voltage output at the time is a better reference for the snapshots. In the case that an interaction happens and a light turns on, the voltage output at that time is recorded into the memory.

The Arduino can control the output voltage of a pin using pulse-width modulation. The function `analogWrite` takes in an integer value between 0 and 255. This number range can be represented by an unsigned char or byte data type, which is smaller than an int. AfterImage remembers its interaction by recording the output voltage of the pixels using a two-dimensional byte array, one dimension for the number of pixels and the other for time. To allow memory replay, the time is travelled in a loop—once the end of the array is reached, the pointer is brought back to the beginning of the array, just like a clock handle that goes around in a circle. When the timer comes around full circle and encounters data that is greater than 0, the lights will be turned on using the values stored at that timeframe. And because each pixel has its own memory allocated for a snapshot, this structure leaves room for collaboration transcending time. When a user interacts with one area on the wall while a replay is happening on a different part of the wall, only the pixels being interacted with will update their data. The next time a replay happens, both interactions will be visible.

For a more direct response to the user’s interaction, the main process of the system
operates every 5 milliseconds. Because the time interval of 60 milliseconds is much longer than the 5 millisecond interval at which the system runs, only the most recent valid input during the 60 millisecond period is put into the memory. In the case that an interaction happens only for a fraction of the 60 milliseconds, the snapshot will still be stored since the memory is only updated when there is an output voltage higher than 0.

Rather than having a certain interaction stay in the Arduino’s memory forever, AfterImage fades out a memory by lowering the intensity of the output voltages decrease every time a snapshot is used in a replay. When the lowered number is below 5, at which the light of the LED is barely visible, the voltage stored in the array is reset to 0. When there is an interaction that is stronger than what is currently stored in the memory, the strongest value gets stored. For example, if a new user creates an interaction that is more recent and stronger on top of an old, faded memory sequence being replayed, the new interaction will determine the values being stored.

**Network**

Although the looping of memory at a constant time interval creates a simple, and visually enticing memory recall system, it is not an accurate representation of how memories are recalled in real life. Flashbacks tend to happen in a more random and organic manner, both in terms of when they happen and what they are about.

In an attempt to create this intricate representation of memory, AfterImage utilizes a network structure that allows the snapshots to be stored in an external server. Because the 256 pixels work together to make a single snapshot, it is crucial that the information comprehensively in sync. The network system allows data from the sensors to be stored and retrieved as one data structure using a combination of serial communication and bluetooth.

Starting from the Raspberry Pi server as the root, the network can be represented as a tree structure. A Raspberry Pi was chosen as the server due to its portability and performance with various languages. Figure 5 represents a simple diagram of the network
Involving a single Raspberry Pi and 22 Arduinos using serial communication integrated with bluetooth.

The architecture of the tree is based on the fact that the Arduino Due has 4 built-in serial ports. One port is always saved for the parent, which means each Arduino can have no more than three children. Although serial communication speed can be customized by adjusting the Baud rate, the maximum possible Baud rate is limited by the HC-06 bluetooth module’s maximum Baud rate of 115200.

**Data Representation** As discussed in the previous section, since the environment in which AfterImage is presented is sensitive to differences in ambient light, saving the light sensor data would be not very useful due to the initialization method discussed in the prior section. Thus, saving the voltage at which the light of the pixel was lighted is a better representation of the particular instance. These output voltages can be represented as an integer, which takes up 4 bytes in an Arduino Due. The data from a single Arduino could be represented as a data structure like this:

```c
typedef struct {
```
```c
int arduinoNumber;
int voltageVal[12] = {0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0};
} arduinoData_t;
```

This kind of data structure would take up 52 bytes, accumulating to 1144 bytes for 22 Arduino units, which is not a small number. In the particular scenario of AfterImage, representing them as characters could actually be more efficient. We know that there are less than a hundred Arduinos, which means the `arduinoNumber` will always be a number that can be represented with less than two characters. The `voltageVal` ranges from 0 to 255, which is going to be a number that can be represented with no more than three characters. A character takes up a byte in an Arduino Due, resulting a smaller byte size when the data is represented as a string of characters.

In this case, rather than having a data structure with a fixed data size, the string is going to have a varying length according to the numbers being output. Since serial communication reads in a stream of data byte by byte, there needs to be an indication of the beginning and end of the data set. Also, the data pieces need to be separated using special characters, which can later be used to parse the data. Following these guidelines, the data can be represented in a way like this:

```
<2:0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0>
<12:202 194 189 177 103 102 204 206 102 109 111>
```

Here, the first number is the Arduino number, separated from the rest of the data with a colon. This is then followed by 12 numbers that are separated with spaces, which are the voltage values of the 12 pixels. The upper example, which is the smallest data possible with a single character Arduino number and single character voltage values, has a byte size of 27. The lower example, which is the maximum number of characters possible in this scenario, has a byte size of 52. In the largest example, data size is no more than the originally proposed data structure, even with the start and end delimiters included.
An advantage of this data structure is that the strings can directly be extracted and added on to the rest of the data. On a parent Arduino, when the data from a child is received, the start and end delimiters are taken off, the message is attached to the master message, and a special character ‘/’ is added at the end to indicate the end of the data. Then, the local data is represented in the same way and appended at the end of the master message. This process can be done repeatedly, no matter how deep the tree goes. When the data is combined, data from one bluetooth node on the tree looks something like this:

```
<12: 0 0 140 166 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 90 189
145 73/10: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/11: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/0/2: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/3: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/7: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/9: 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/5: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/1: 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0/6: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0>
```

In the end, the bluetooth Arduino sends the collective data in the form of a string, line by line. The data is then received by the Raspberry Pi and stored in a file, which can later be retrieved and sent back to the Arduinos. The Arduinos can then parse the data using the delimiters and convert the data into the proposed data structure `arduinoData_t` and pull values accordingly.

Because serial communication is much slower than the Arduino processing speed, the longer the information being sent between machines, the longer the delay of operations will be. The closer the Arduino is to the root of the tree, the more data is being sent and received through the Arduino, which causes quite some delay in the system. To accommodate for the fact that parent nodes send three or four times the data they are receiving from each child, the baud rate is respectively increased for each level in the network, going up to 115200 for the bluetooth Arduinos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>No. Arduinos</th>
<th>Data Size</th>
<th>Baud Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27–52</td>
<td>19200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>83–212</td>
<td>57600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11–13</td>
<td>307–688</td>
<td>115200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Data size and communication rate at different levels in the network

**Problems**  Through some testing, it was proven that this method of data processing is suitable for acquiring data from the Arduinos. Examining the incoming data by parsing the strings and counting the number of output voltages show that the exact number of Arduinos and pixels were represented in the incoming string. Also, when the formatted string was directly put into an Arduino unit using serial communication though a USB cable, the unit parsed the string properly and replayed its part based on the data.

But when the same data was sent to the Arduinos via bluetooth, only some of the units were responding accordingly. There seems to be some nested serial communication issues that cause some bytes in the serial data to be lost, since the Arduino units are handling local data and information coming in from four different serial ports in the same cycle. Due to time limitations, the replay feature was not implemented successfully, but the reliable data collection through the proposed network and data structure can definitely open up additional operations such as real-time data visualization.
FABRICATION

Wiring

A 6 feet by 6 feet panel of half-inch thick acrylic was used as the canvas for laying out the pixels. Acrylic has both reflective and transparent characteristics—when a user stands in front of the acrylic, they can see through the glass but also see a slight reflection of themselves. These features deemed relevant to the topic of geospatial memory, allowing the user to gain a better sense of their existence in the space.

Just like how digital images are consisted of pixels, AfterImage’s images are formed by the lights on the breadboards. Since the system uses Arduinos, the hardware was the main limiting factor. To read light sensor readings with the Arduino, AfterImage uses the Arduino Due’s 12 analog input pins, enabling each Arduino to collect data from and control 12 pixels each.

Using the given resources, the maximum possible square layout was a 16 by 16 grid. The breadboards were laid out so that the colors will create a gradient-like visual, which was carefully planned and selected from a few different layouts. Making the overall aesthetics of the wall pleasant was important so that more people would be willing to come closer and realize that the piece is in fact responsive to their actions.

Once the breadboards were laid out, 12 or less breadboards were grouped into a section, as limited by the number of analog pins on the Arduino. On each breadboard, a light sensor and an LED light was placed, the sensor providing the input and the light creating the output accordingly.

These Arduinos are then connected to a power source using a micro-USB to USB cable. These cables, which can be a little distracting due to their dark color, follow the edge of the breadboards and on to the edge of the wooden frame, hiding from the view of the plane as much as possible.

The wiring itself is a large part of AfterImage’s visual identity. The wires are like
Figure 6: Wiring overview with segmentation and numbers used to identify each unit brushstrokes in a painting that connect areas of color to create the overall image of the piece. To create a circuit that is both aesthetically pleasing and functional, almost all of the wiring was done using solid hook-up wire that could be cut to desired lengths. By making the wires taught and straight, the wiring was intentionally made to have a string-art like visual. Since the breadboards were placed on the acrylic sheet to create a gradient, using the same color wires as the breadboards in the area helped enhance the color flow of the piece and create a more comprehensive visual connection. Figure 7 presents a fully functional Arduino unit with minimal wires—on top of this, more wires were added in between units to connect the units visually.

**LED Lights**  At first, *AfterImage* was planned to use RGB LED lights to create a display that could change color. But because digital pins of the Arduino are only capable of the two states of on and off, it was not possible to create a smooth visual experience of the lights
fading in and out. To create a fading effect, it was necessary to use analog outputs, which would change the output voltage going through the pin to a value between 0 and 255.

Arduino Due thankfully has twelve pins that are designed to create this analog output effect using pulse width moderation (PWM). Using RGB LED lights meant that a single light has to make use of three pins, one for each color channel, which would limit the number of lights to three per Arduino. Each pixel required at least one light, so single-color LED lights were used.

Since this meant the lights could have only one fixed color, light colors were matched with the breadboard color. This facilitates the user experience by providing a direct correlation between what the user sees before and after they trigger the system. Considering the size of AfterImage, having a light turn on a pixel that is far away meant the lights will have to be viewed at an angle. Clear LED lights tend to be very bright at the top and not very visible from an angle, so diffused LED lights were deemed more suitable for the implementation.

Because we use PWM pins for the output voltage of these LED lights, the voltage coming into the lights are always regulated. Thus, there is no need for a resistor between
the voltage source and the LED light.

**Wood Structure**

In order to keep the acrylic sheet standing upright and add stability and mobility to the wall, *AfterImage* is encased in a wooden frame with wheels. Woodworking happened as the wiring was taking place. The frame and base elevated the acrylic plane up a little bit, making it around 6.5 feet from the ground. This granted the wall to cover a more active area, since feet movement tends to be less intense than hand and arm movement Hoffmann [1991].

Acrylic is very heavy: the piece used in AfterImage is calculated to weigh around 50 kilograms. With the wiring and additional parts assembled on top, the whole piece is expected to weigh more than 55 kilograms. Since interactive art is always prone to touch and occasional rough handling, it was of utmost importance to create a sturdy frame that could offer a safe experience to the users.

**Frame**  The basic structure of the piece is like a moving wall or a movable partition—an upright plane attached to a base with wheels on the bottom. To tackle the flexible nature of the acrylic, *AfterImage* needed a frame that goes around all four edges of the plane of the acrylic. A significant amount of the acrylic sheet needed to be enclosed within the frame to secure it in place. The frame is consisted of four long pieces of wood that have 0.5 inch wide, 1 inch deep grooves that will embrace the acrylic sheet.

Once the dimensions were decided, a shorter test piece was used to check the fit. With confirmation that the grooves encased the acrylic perfectly, the actual frame was made by creating the four edges separately and then assembling them together. Creating four pieces of the same length with a 45° cut on each end would have been the typical way of creating a frame, but this was not appropriate due to the size and weight of this project's size and weight. Having an angle meant that there could be some slipping and gradual
Thus, a tenon and mortise joint was chosen to assemble the frame instead. A tenon was cut from a conjoining frame edge by shaving away the end of the wood piece to reveal a 0.5” thick, 1.5” high block, fitting the groove of the other piece perfectly. By using the extension of the groove as part of the joinery, the frame was assembled using just a single drywall nail on each corner. Although there was some warping of the wood over the course of production, the form of the acrylic sheet helped straighten out the frame into a perfect square.

Base  Since the frame with the acrylic sheet is quite heavy, any tipping or introduction of an angle could cause the structure to fall. By having the frame connected to the base with braces and making sure that the frame is always upright, the base can prevent the structure
from having any moment force towards the front or back. The wider the angle of the braces, the more stable the structure will be.

But having a wider angle on the braces meant that the base had to be quite wide. In terms of the interaction, having a wide base is not ideal because the base could prevent people from getting close to the actual active area of the piece.

Because of the mostly equal distribution of weight along the frame, the frame could be attached to the base using just two end points. This meant that the base does not need to be a solid piece. Instead, a simplified base was created with two end structures and two bridges in between to prevent the end structures from rotating. The bridges were assembled closer to the middle of the structure so that when the user interacts with the piece, they could get as close as they need to be, yet keeping them from getting too close to the piece.

![Figure 10: Drawing of base with different colors for different sections and photo of actual base](image)
USER INTERVIEWS

Over the course of two days, ten individuals were invited to test AfterImage. Four people interacted with the piece on their own, while others came in as a group of two people. Intended to be a test on how new users interact with the piece, they were introduced to the space with no background information. After a few minutes of interaction, the underlying idea was explained: a representation of geospatial memory in an attempt to create a sense of existence and connection.

Interaction Patterns

Although it was expected that users would use more hand and arm movement than leg movement, there was a surprisingly high number of participants who attempted to use their feet to interact with the wall. This pattern of action happened a lot especially when the participants were trying to take a video of the piece with their hands, limiting their movement to their feet. A lot of times, this caused the user to be further away from the surface, causing the lights to not turn on.

The participants’ interactions could be broadly divided into micro interactions and macro interactions. Some participants were more focused on the overall image they were creating on the whole wall, while others were interested in the fading on and off of the individual pixels. All participants eventually attempted a mixture of both interactions over time.

When they were notified of the fact that the light patterns that were not prompted by their actions were actually interactions from the past, a lot of participants tried to make a very distinguishable move and sit back, waiting for their unique pattern to be replayed. Once their interaction appeared on the wall, users would display their satisfaction and continue interacting, adding a new layer to the time loop. This pattern was more noticeable among the group participants, who would often take turns in interacting with AfterImage.
Comments

Even before they were given the explanation of what AfterImage is attempting to represent, participants gave some insightful comments closely tied to the idea of existence and connection. One participant mentioned that “it’s pretty novel to be able to see the past and the present at the same time.” The other participant who was in the same session mentioned that the piece “brings people together,” how “you leave your mark and other people can leave theirs,” creating a “collaborative burst of color.” Another tester described the wall as the canvas and the users as the artist, making the art by determining what lights get turned on.

Some participants mentioned that the experience made them be “more aware of what they do.” Sometimes, they would see a replay and realize that the outcome looked different from what they expected, allowing them to be detached from their own actions.

Many users were deeply touched by the subtle details in the representation of memory in AfterImage. One participant mentioned that the fading of the previous interactions are very representative of how “you never remember memories in the same way” and that “once you recall something it’s kind of distorted.”
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Conclusion  Despite its technical limitations, AfterImage has provided users with a unique opportunity to leave their mark in time and interact with happenings in the past. Quotes from user interviews strongly suggest that this representation of geospatial memory creates an arena for collaboration and expression, allowing users to explore the sense of existence and connection with the space and with other people.

Future Work  Although sparse grid of pixels were enough to give the users a unique experience, the images projected on the wall were very rough. It would be ideal to have a higher density grid of pixels, with additional microprocessors and sensors. To create a more accurate and comprehensive representation of the space in front of the piece, it might be beneficial to create an input unit composed of a combination of light and proximity sensors.

Using more lights than sensors would also help with increasing the pixel density, possibly by assigning lights in pixels without sensors and interpolating data from the input data from its adjacent pixels. Although there is a hardware limitation of having only 12 PWM pins, it is possible to program the digital pins of the Arduino to act like PWM pins through code. This opens up space for 42 additional LED lights per Arduino unit.

Since AfterImage was built with a possibility of being used in multiple environments and collecting the spacial data for different locations, there was no controlled environment that regulated ambient light. The pixels would have been the most reliable and responsive if the piece had been built and set up in a controlled environment. Having the piece shown in a controlled space like a gallery and really fine-tuning and optimizing the experience would be an interesting next step.

Using the current initialization method, change in ambient light will not be automatically recognized. Thus, if the piece is powered on for a longer period of time without the triggering of the initialization command, some light sensors might mistake the decrease in ambient light as a shadow caused by a user. A potential way to prevent such false triggering
would be to train the system to recognize patterns in the readings created by humans. For example, human movement tends to happen on adjacent pixels. When a pixel is turned on in a randomly scattered pattern, it is more likely that this reaction was caused by light changes. Another possible scenario is having an increase in ambient light—when the light readings are significantly higher than the threshold. By teaching the Arduino to re-initialize itself when such events happen, only when there is no user interaction happening in the rest of the piece, the piece will be able to retain its responsiveness.

With the data of the interactions are stored on the Raspberry Pi server, it would be interesting to use the data to create visualizations using graphic languages such as Processing. Just like how soundscapes represent the rich combination of events happening around an area, data from *AfterImage* may be reproduced as a visual counterpart of spacial identity. This graphic element could be created live as the data comes in and work together with the existing pixel visualization through a projection played in the wall behind and viewed through the acrylic sheet.
Appendix A: Role of Light in Everyday Life

Figure 11: Mind map of "the role of light in everyday life"
Appendix B: Breadboard Layouts

Figure 12: Explored breadboard layouts
Appendix C: Phototransistor Sensitivity

Figure 13: Phototransistor readings with blocking applied at different distances

It can be noted that the lower the resistor value, the more sensitive the readings are. According to the amount of ambient light, the most appropriate resistor level will be different.


