

**General Instructions:** Same as in Homework 1.

**Honor Principle:** Same as in Homework 1.

22. Recall our definition of the class  $IP_{\varepsilon^-, \varepsilon^+}$ : We say that a language  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  is in this class if there is a polynomial-time verifier  $V$  that uses a random string  $r$  and has the following properties, where  $P$  is an arbitrarily powerful prover that interacts with  $V$ :

$$\begin{aligned}x \in L &\implies \exists P : \Pr_r[P * V \text{ rejects } (x, r)] \leq \varepsilon^- , \\x \notin L &\implies \forall P : \Pr_r[P * V \text{ accepts } (x, r)] \leq \varepsilon^+ .\end{aligned}$$

We defined  $IP = IP_{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}}$  and remarked that the choice of the constants isn't terribly important, as can be proved by suitable repetition and Chernoff bound analysis. We also remarked that  $\varepsilon^-$  can be made zero (though not by simple repetition): we shall eventually see a proof of this. Finally, we remarked that  $\varepsilon^+$  cannot be made zero because it makes the underlying class boil down to plain old NP.

Justify this last remark. Specifically, prove that  $IP_{\frac{1}{3}, 0} = NP$ . [2 points]

23. Let  $p$  be a prime. This problem involves the group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , consisting of integers  $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  with multiplication performed mod  $p$ . At some point you will need to use the fact that every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has a multiplicative inverse mod  $p$  (that's what makes it a group).

The *quadratic residuosity problem* asks whether a given integer is a square mod  $p$ . The brute force solution is to try out all elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and compute the square of each, but it takes time proportional to  $p$ , which is exponential in the input length. But one can give interesting interactive proofs for this problem. To be precise, define the languages

$$\begin{aligned}\text{QR} &= \{ \langle p, x \rangle : p \text{ is prime, } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ and } \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}_p (y^2 \equiv x \pmod{p}) \}, \\ \text{QNR} &= \{ \langle p, x \rangle : p \text{ is prime, } x \in \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ and } \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}_p (y^2 \not\equiv x \pmod{p}) \} .\end{aligned}$$

The acronyms denote "quadratic residue" and "quadratic non-residue," respectively.

Prove that both these languages are in IP and that one of these is in fact in NP. [2 points]

Hint: Your protocol for one of the languages should mimic the one we gave in class for NONISO. Suppose  $\langle p, x \rangle \in \text{QNR}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . What can you say about  $xz^2 \pmod{p}$ ?

24. In our definition of IP, we allowed the verifier to ask randomly generated questions, but did not allow the prover to give randomly generated answers. Define the class  $IP'$  to be similar to IP, except that the prover may also use a random string to compute his answers. The prover's random string is independent of the verifier's. Prove that  $IP' = IP$ . [2 points]