1. In class, we went through a proof that a DFA $M = (\{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}, \Sigma, \delta, q_1, F)$ can be converted into an equivalent regular expression. During this proof we defined some sets $R_{ij}^k$ and proved, using induction, that they were all regular.

1.1. Define the sets $R_{ij}^k$. An informal definition will suffice. [5 points]

Solution: $R_{ij}^k$ is the set of all strings in $\Sigma^*$ that take $M$ from state $q_i$ to state $q_j$ without ever passing through a state numbered higher than $q_k$. Here, “passing through” means entering and then leaving.

1.2. Write down a system of equations which inductively, and completely, specifies every set $R_{ij}^k$. Make sure your equations cover all the base cases and all the induction steps. You do not need to prove that your equations are correct. [10 points]

Solution: Here are the desired equations:

$$
R_{ij}^0 = \{a \in \Sigma : \delta(q_i, a) = q_j\}, \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \text{ with } i \neq j,
$$

$$
R_{ii}^0 = \{\varepsilon\} \cup \{a \in \Sigma : \delta(q_i, a) = q_i\}, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n\},
$$

$$
R_{ij}^k = R_{ij}^{k-1} \cup R_{ik}^{k-1} (R_{kk}^{k-1})^* R_{kj}^{k-1}, \quad \text{for } i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}.
$$
2. Give a one sentence proof that any NFA can be converted into an equivalent NFA that has no \(\varepsilon\)-transitions. Feel free to use results proved in class.

**Solution:** As proved in class, any NFA can be converted into an equivalent DFA, which is, of course, automatically an NFA without \(\varepsilon\)-transitions.

3. Draw an NFA that recognizes the language \(\{a^i b^j c^k : i \geq 2, j \geq 1, k \geq 0\}\). Keep it simple!

**Solution:**

![NFA Diagram](image-url)
4. Consider the language $L = (1^*01^*0)^*1^* \cup (0 \cup 1)^*001$.

4.1. Describe $L$ in plain English. [10 points]

**Solution:** $L$ is the set of all strings over \{0, 1\} that either contain an even number of 0's or end in 001.

4.2. Draw an NFA that has at most 7 states and recognizes $L$. [10 points]

**Solution:**

![NFA Diagram]
5. For a string \( x \in \{0, 1\}^* \), let \( N_0(x) \) and \( N_1(x) \) denote the number of 0’s and 1’s (respectively) in \( x \). Of the following two languages, exactly one is regular. Specify which language is regular and prove that it is so. You do not have to give a proof that the other language is not regular.

\[
L_1 = \{ x \in \{0, 1\}^* : |N_0(x) - N_1(x)| = 5 \}, \\
L_2 = \{ x \in \{0, 1\}^* : |N_0(x) - N_1(x)| \text{ is divisible by } 5 \}.
\]

[15 points]

**Solution:** The language \( L_2 \) is regular, because it is recognized by the following DFA.

The language \( L_1 \) is not regular. You did not have to prove this, but you should be able to do so by using the pumping lemma to try to pump the string \( 0^{p+5}1^p \in L_1 \), where \( p \) is the hypothetical pumping length of \( L_1 \).