

# Programmable Networks

Andrew T. Campbell<sup>1</sup>, Michael E. Kounavis<sup>1</sup> and John B. Vicente<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Telecommunications Research,  
Columbia University

<sup>2</sup>Intel Corporation

genesis@comet.columbia.edu

## Abstract

*A number of important innovations are creating a paradigm shift in networking leading to higher levels of network programmability. These innovations include the separation between transmission hardware and control software, availability of open programmable network interfaces and the accelerated virtualization of networking infrastructure. The ability to rapidly create, deploy and manage new network services in response to user demands is a key factor driving the programmable networking research community. The goal of programmable networking is to simplify the deployment of network services, leading to networks that explicitly support the process of service creation and deployment. This chapter examines the state-of-the-art in programmable networks.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Internet is currently being transformed from simple network architecture to a more sophisticated information delivery system. New technologies such as optical networking, software radios and pervasive computing are expected to impact the way people communicate. In the near future, competition between Internet Service Providers (ISPs) could solely hinge on the speed at which one service provider can respond to new market demands over another. The introduction of new services is, however, a challenging task and calls for major advances in methodologies and toolkits for service creation. A vast amount of service-specific computation, processing and switching must be handled and new network programming environments have to be engineered to enable future networking infrastructures to be open, extensible and programmable.

Before we can meet this challenge, we need to better understand the limitations of existing networks and the fundamentals for making networks more programmable. There is growing consensus that network fundamentals are strongly associated with the deployment of new network programming environments, which explicitly recognize service creation, deployment and management in the network infrastructure. For example, programmable networks could be based on active network execution environments [42] operating on node operating systems [40] or open signaling network kernels [30] supporting the coexistence of multiple control architectures [33]. Both of these proposals squarely address the same problem: how to ‘open’ the network up and accelerate its programmability in a controlled and secure manner for the deployment of new architectures, services and protocols.

The separation of communications hardware (i.e., switching fabrics, routing engines) from control software is fundamental to making the network more programmable. Such a separation is difficult to realize today. The reason for this is that switches and routers are vertically integrated - akin to mainframes of the 70s. Typically, service providers do not have access to switch/router control environments (e.g. Cisco’s IOS operating system), algorithms (e.g. routing protocols) or states (e.g., routing tables, flow states). This makes the deployment of new network services, which may be many orders of magnitude more flexible than proprietary control systems,

impossible due to the closed nature of network nodes. The question is, how do we go about ‘opening up’ the switches and routers for deployment of third party control software and services?

A programmable network is distinguished from other networking environments by the fact that it can be programmed from a minimal set of APIs to provide a wide array of higher level services. A number of research groups are actively designing and developing programmable network prototypes. Each group tends to use its own terminology. However, on examination one can observe a common set of characteristics that govern the construction of programmable networks. We use these characteristics to better understand the field:

- *networking technology*, which implicitly limits the programmability that can be delivered to higher levels. For example, some technologies are more QOS programmable (e.g., ATM), scalable (e.g., Internet) or limited in bandwidth availability (e.g., mobile networks);
- *level of programmability*, which indicates the method, granularity and time scale over which new services can be introduced into the network infrastructure. This in turn is strongly related to language support, programming methodology or middleware adopted. For example, distributed object technology can be based on RPC [46] or mobile code [45] methodologies resulting in quasi-static or dynamically composed network programming interfaces;
- *programmable communications abstractions*, which indicate the level of virtualization and programmability of networking infrastructure requiring different middleware and potentially network node support (e.g., switch/router, base station). For example, programmable communications abstractions include virtual switches [30], switchlets [33], active nodes [40], universal mobile channels [32] and virtual active networks [21]; and
- *architectural domain*, which indicates the targeted architectural or application domain (e.g., signaling, management, transport). This potentially dictates certain design choices and impacts the construction of architectures, and services offered, calling for a wide range of middleware support. Examples include, composing application services [4], programmable QOS control [30] and network management [41]).

This chapter examines the state of the art in programmable networks. We present a number of research projects and characterize them in terms of the characteristics discussed above. Section 2 examines how networking technology impacts programmable networking. Following this, Section 3, discusses the level of programmability that these prototype networks can offer, and Section 4, discusses programmable communication abstractions ranging from node abstractions to programmable virtual networks. Section 5 examines a number of architectural domains where programmable networks can be used. Finally, Section 6 makes a number of observations about the direction of the field. We believe that a number of important innovations are creating a paradigm shift in networking leading to higher levels of network programmability.

## **2. NETWORKING TECHNOLOGY**

A number of programmable network prototypes have been targeted to specific networking technologies. The motivation behind these projects is to make the targeted networking technology more programmable in an attempt to overcome particular deficiencies associated with supporting communication services.

### **2.1 IP networks: Smart Packets**

The University of Kansas has developed smart packets, a code-based packet concept implemented in a programmable IP environment [29]. Smart packets represent elements of in-band or out-of-band mobile code based on Java classes. Smart packets propagate state information in the form of serialized objects and carry identifiers for authentication purposes. An active node architecture supports smart packets by exposing a set of resource abstractions and primitives made accessible to smart packets. Active nodes incorporate:

- resource controllers, which provide interfaces to node resources;
- node managers, which impose static limits on resource usage; and

- state managers, which control the amount of information smart packets may leave behind at an active node.

The active node supports a feedback-scheduling algorithm to allow partitioning of CPU cycles among competing tasks and a credit-based flow-control mechanism to regulate bandwidth usage. Each smart packet is allocated a single thread of CPU and some amount of node resources. Active nodes also include router managers that support both default routing schemes and alternative routing methods carried by smart packets. The smart packets testbed has been used to program enhanced HTTP and SMTP services that show some performance benefits over conventional HTTP and SMTP by reducing excessive ACK/NAK responses in the protocols. A beacon routing scheme supports the use of multiple routing algorithms within a common physical IP network based on smart packets.

## 2.2 ATM Networks: xbind

ATM technology provides connection-oriented communications and has been tailored towards QOS provisioning of multimedia networks. Although essential features of QOS provisioning, such as admission control and resource reservation, are inherently supported by the ATM technology, its signaling component is unsuitable for practical usage due to its significant complexity. xbind [15] overcomes these service creation limitations by separating control algorithms from the telecommunications hardware. Emphasis is placed on the development of interfaces to provide open access to node resources and functions, using virtual switch and virtual link abstractions. The interfaces are designed to support the programmability of the management and control planes in ATM networks.

The xbind broadband kernel [47], which is based on the XRM model [15], incorporates three network models abstracting a broadband network, multimedia network and service network. The multimedia network supports programmable network management, network control, state management, connection management and media stream control. The xbind testbed incorporates multivendor ATM switches using open signaling and service creation to support a variety of broadband services, transport and signaling systems with QOS guarantees.

## 2.3 Mobile Networks: Mobiware

Mobiware [6] is a software-intensive open programmable mobile architecture extending the xbind model of programmability to packet based mobile networks for the delivery of adaptive mobile services over time-varying wireless links. Mobiware incorporates object-based, CORBA programmability for the control plane but also allows active transport objects (i.e., code plug-ins) based on Java byte code to be loaded into the data path. At the transport layer, an active transport environment injects algorithms into base stations providing value-added service support at strategic points inside the network. At the network layer, a set of distributed objects that run on mobile devices, access points and mobile-capable switches, interact with each other to support programmable handoff control and different styles of QOS adaptation. The MAC layer has also been made programmable.

The following mobile services have been programmed using the mobiware toolkit [37]:

- QOS-controlled handoff, which supports automatic media scaling and error control based on an adaptive-QOS API and wireless channel conditions;
- mobile soft-state, which provides mobile devices with the capability to respond to time varying QOS through a periodic reservation and renegotiation process; and
- flow bundling, which supports fast handoff in cellular access networks.

The mobiware testbed supports a variety of scalable audio and video services to mobile devices in addition to traditional web based data services.

## 3. LEVEL OF PROGRAMMABILITY

The level of programmability expresses the granularity at which new services can be introduced into the network infrastructure. One can consider a spectrum of possible choices from highly dynamic to more conservative levels of programmability. At one end of this spectrum, capsules [42] carry code and data enabling the uncoordinated

deployment of protocols. Capsules represent the most dynamic means of code and service deployment into the network. At the other end of the spectrum there are more conservative approaches to network programmability based on quasi-static network programming interfaces using RPCs between distributed controllers [46] to deploy new services. Between the two extremes lie a number of other methodologies combining dynamic plug-ins, active messaging and RPC. Different approaches have a direct bearing on the speed, flexibility, safety, security and performance at which new services can be introduced into the infrastructure.

### **3.1 Capsules: ANTS**

ANTS [45], developed at MIT, enables the uncoordinated deployment of multiple communication protocols in active networks providing a set of core services including support for the transportation of mobile code, loading of code on demand and caching techniques. These core services allow network architects to introduce or extend existing network protocols. ANTS provides a network programming environment for building new capsule-based programmable network architectures. Examples of such programmed network services include enhanced multicast services, mobile IP routing and application-level filtering. The ANTS capsule-driven execution model provides a foundation for maximum network programmability in comparison to other API approaches. Capsules serve as atomic units of network programmability supporting processing and forwarding interfaces. Incorporated features include node access, capsule manipulation, control operations and soft-state storage services on IP routers. Active nodes execute capsules and forwarding routines, maintain local state and support code distribution services for automating the deployment of new services. The ANTS toolkit also supports capsule processing quanta as a metric for node resource management.

### **3.2 Active Extensions: Switchware**

Switchware [3], being developed at University of Pennsylvania, attempts to balance the flexibility of a programmable network against the safety and security requirements needed in a shared infrastructure such as the Internet. The Switchware toolkit allows the network architects to trade-off flexibility, safety, security, performance and usability when programming secure network architectures. At the operating system level, an active IP-router component is responsible for providing a secure foundation that guarantees system integrity. Active extensions can be dynamically loaded into secure active routers through a set of security mechanisms that include encryption, authentication and program verification. The correct behavior of active extensions can be verified off-line by applying 'heavyweight' methods, since the deployment of such extensions is done over slow time scales.

Active extensions provide interfaces for more dynamic network programming using active packets. Active packets can roam and customize the network in a similar way as capsules do. Active packets are written in functional languages (e.g., Caml and PLAN [28]) and carry lightweight programs that invoke node-resident service routines supported by active extensions. There is much less requirement for testing and verification in the case of active packets than for active extensions, given the confidence that lower level security checks have already been applied to active extensions. Active packets cannot explicitly leave state behind at nodes and they can access state only through clearly defined interfaces furnished by active extension software. Switchware applies heavyweight security checks on active extensions, which may represent major releases of switch code, and more lightweight security checks on active packets. This approach allows the network architect to balance security concerns against performance requirements. The security model of Switchware considers public, authenticated and verified facilities.

### **3.3 Composition Languages: CANEs**

Capsules, active messages and active extensions promote the creation of new services through the composition of new building blocks or by adding components to existing services. The CANEs project led by researchers at University of Kentucky and Georgia Tech. aim to define and apply service composition rules as a general model for network programmability [14]. A composition method is used to construct composite network services from components. A composition method is specified as a programming language with enhanced language capabilities that operates on components to construct programmable network services. Attributes of a good composition

method include high performance, scalability, security and ease of management. Features of well-structured composition methods combine:

- control on the sequence in which components are executed;
- control on shared data among components;
- binding times, which comprise composite creation and execution times;
- invocation methods, which are defined as events that cause a composite to be executed; and
- division of functionality among multiple components, which may either reside at an active node or be carried by packets.

PLAN, ANTS and Netscript [21] (described in Section 4.4.2) are examples of composition methods. LIANE is proposed within the CANEs project as a composition method that incorporates all the aforementioned features. The key idea of LIANE is that services are composed from basic underlying programs that contain processing slots. Users insert programs for customization in these slots. The CANEs definition of service composition encompasses the Opensig approach to network programmability indicating how different approaches to programmable networking complement each other by addressing the same goal from different perspectives.

### **3.4 Network APIs: xbind**

The xbind broadband kernel is based on a binding architecture and a collection of node interfaces referred to as Binding Interface Base (BIB) [2]. The BIB provides abstractions to the node state and network resources. Binding algorithms run on top of the BIB and bind QOS requirements to network resources via abstractions. The BIB is designed to support service creation through high-level programming languages. The interfaces are static while supporting universal programmability. The quasi-static nature of the BIB interfaces, allow for complete testing and verification of the correctness of new functions, on emulation platforms, before any service is deployed. The concept of active packets or capsules containing both programs and user data is not considered in the xbind approach to programmability. Rather, communication is performed using RPCs between distributed objects and controllers based on OMG's CORBA. The approach taken by xbind promotes interoperability between multi-vendor switch market supporting resource sharing and partitioning in a controlled manner.

## **4. PROGRAMMABLE COMMUNICATIONS ABSTRACTIONS**

Abstractions and partitioning of resources are essential concepts in programmable networking. Programmable communications abstractions may range from node resources to complete programmable virtual networks. Other programmable communications abstractions include programmable virtual routers, virtual links and mobile channels. Abstracting the network infrastructure through virtualization and making it programmable is a major contribution of the field that encompasses a number of different projects.

### **4.1 Active Node Abstractions: NodeOS**

Members of the DARPA active network program [20] are developing an architectural framework for active networking [11]. A node operating system called NodeOS [40] represents the lowest level of the framework. NodeOS provides node interfaces at routers utilized by multiple execution environments, which support communication abstractions such as threads, channels and flows. Development of an execution environment is a nontrivial task and it is anticipated [12] that the total number of execution environments will not be large. Encapsulation techniques based on an active network encapsulation protocol (ANEP) [5] support the deployment of multiple execution environments within a single active node. ANEP defines an encapsulation format allowing packets to be routed through multiple execution environments coexisting on the same physical nodes. Portability of execution environments across different types of physical nodes is accomplished by the NodeOS, by exposing a common, standard interface. This interface defines four programmable node abstractions: threads, memory, channels and flows. Threads, memory and channels abstract computation, storage, and communication capacity used by execution environments, whereas flows abstract user data-paths with security, authentication and admission control facilities. An execution environment uses the NodeOS interface to create threads and associate

channels with flows. The NodeOS supports QOS using scheduling mechanisms that regulate the access to node computation and communication resources. The architectural framework for active networking is being implemented in the ABONE testbed [1] allowing researchers to prototype new active architectures.

#### **4.2 Virtual Active Networks: Netscript**

The Netscript project [49] at Columbia University takes a functional language-based approach to capture network programmability using universal language abstractions. Netscript is a strongly typed language that creates universal abstractions for programming network node functions. Unlike other active network projects that take a language-based approach Netscript is being developed to support Virtual Active Networks as a programmable abstraction. Virtual Active Network [21] abstractions can be systematically composed, provisioned and managed. In addition, Netscript automates management through language extensions that generate MIBs. Netscript leverages earlier work on decentralized management and agent technologies that automatically correlate and analyze the behavior monitored by active MIB elements. A distinguishing feature of Netscript is that it seeks to provide a universal language for active networks in a manner that is analogous to postscript. Just as postscript captures the programmability of printer engines, Netscript captures the programmability of network node functions. Netscript communication abstractions include collections of nodes and virtual links that constitute virtual active networks.

#### **4.3 Virtual ATM Networks: Tempest**

The Tempest project at the University of Cambridge [34] has investigated the deployment of multiple coexisting control architectures in broadband ATM environments. Novel technological approaches include the usage of software mobile agents to customize network control and the consideration of control architectures dedicated to a single service. Tempest supports two levels of programmability and abstraction. First, switchlets, which are logical network elements that result from the partition of ATM switch resources, allow the introduction of alternative control architectures into an operational network. Second, services can be refined by dynamically loading programs that customize existing control architectures. Resources in an ATM network can be divided by using two software components: a switch control interface called ariel and a resource divider called prospero. Prospero communicates with an ariel server on an ATM switch, partitions the resources and exports a separate control interface for each switchlet created. A network builder creates, modifies and maintains control architectures.

### **5. ARCHITECTURAL DOMAINS**

Most programmable network projects are related to the introduction of services into networks. However, most projects are targeted to a particular architectural domain (e.g., QOS control, signaling, management, transport and applications). In what follows we discuss three projects that address the application, resource management and network management domains.

#### **5.1 Application-Level: Active Services**

In contrast to the main body of research in active networking, Amir et al. [4] call for the preservation of all routing and forwarding semantics of the Internet architecture by restricting the computation model to the application layer. The Active Services version 1 (AS1) programmable service architecture enables clients to download and run service agents at strategic locations inside the network. Service agents called “servents” are restricted from manipulating routing tables and forwarding functions that would contravene the IP-layer integrity. The AS1 architecture contains a number of architectural components:

- a service environment, which defines a programming model and a set of interfaces available to servents;

- a service-location facility, which allows clients to ‘rendezvous’ with the AS1 environment by obtaining bootstrapping and configuration mechanisms to instantiate servents<sup>1</sup>;
- a service management system, which allocates clusters of resources to servents using admission control and load balancing of servents under high-load conditions;
- a service control system, which provides dynamic client control of servents once instantiated within an AS1 architecture;
- a service attachment facility, which provides mechanisms for clients that can not interact directly with the AS1 environment through soft-state gateways; and
- a service composition mechanism, which allows clients to contact multiple service clusters and interconnect servents running within and across clusters.

The AS1 architecture is programmable at the application layer supporting a range of application domains. In [4], the MeGa architecture is programmed using AS1 to support an active media gateway service. In this case, servents provide support for application-level rate control and transcoding techniques.

## 5.2 Resource Management: Darwin

The Darwin Project [17] at Carnegie Mellon University is developing a middleware environment for the next generation IP networks with the goal of offering Internet users a platform for value-added and customizable services. The Darwin project is focused toward customizable resource management that supports QoS. Architecturally, the Darwin framework includes Xena, a service broker that maps user requirements to a set of local resources, resource managers that communicate with Xena using the Beagle signaling protocol, and hierarchical scheduling disciplines based on service profiles. The Xena architecture takes the view that the IP forwarding and routing functions should be left in tact and only allows restricted use of active packet technology in the system. Alongside the IP stack, Darwin introduces a control plane that builds on similar concepts such as those leveraged by broadband kernels [30] and active services [4]. The Xena architecture is made programmable and incorporates active technologies in a restricted fashion. A set of service delegates provides support for active packets. Delegates can be dynamically injected into IP routers or servers to support application specific processing (e.g., sophisticated semantic dropping) and value-added services (e.g., transcoders). A distinguishing feature of the Darwin architectural approach is that mechanisms can be customized according to user specific service needs defined by space, organization and time constraints.

## 5.3 Network Management: Smart Packets

The Smart Packets Project [41] (not to be confused with University of Kansas smart packets) at BBN aims to improve the performance of large and complex networks by leveraging active networking technology. Smart Packets are used to move management decision making points closer to the nodes being managed, target specific aspects of the node for management and abstract management concepts to language constructs. Management centers can send programs to managed nodes. Thus the management process can be tailored to the specific interests of the management center reducing the amount of back traffic and data requiring examination. A smart packet consists of a header and payload encapsulated using ANEP [5]. Smart packets may carry programs to be executed, results from execution, informational messages or reports on error conditions. Smart Packets are written in two programming languages:

- sprocket, which is a high-level C-like, language with security threatening constructs, and
- spanner, which is a low-level assembly-like language, that can result in tighter, optimized code.

---

<sup>1</sup> Servents are launched into the network by an active service control protocol (ASCP), which includes an announce-listen protocol for servers to manage session state consistency, soft-state to manage expiration due to timeouts and multicast damping to avoid flooding the environment with excessive servents.

Sprocket programs are compiled into spanner code, which in turn is assembled into a machine-independent binary encoding placed into smart packets. Meaningful programs perform networking functions and MIB information retrieval.

## **6. DISCUSSION**

### **6.1 Open Programmable Interfaces**

The use of open programmable network interfaces is evident in many programmable network projects discussed in this chapter. Open interfaces provide a foundation for service programming and the introduction of new network architectures.

The xbind broadband kernel supports a comprehensive Binding Interface Base using CORBA/IDL to abstract network ATM devices, state and control. A number of other projects focussed on programming IP networks (e.g., ANTS, Switchware, CANEs) promote the use of open APIs that abstract node primitives, enabling network programmability and the composition of new services. Many network programming environments take fundamentally different approaches to providing open interfaces for service composition. The programming methodology adopted (e.g., distributed object technology based on RPC, mobile code or hybrid approaches) has a significant impact on an architecture's level of programmability; that is, the granularity, time scales and complexity incurred when introducing new APIs and algorithms into the network.

Two counter proposals include the xbind and ANTS APIs. While the ANTS approach to the deployment of new APIs is extremely flexible presenting a highly dynamic programming methodology it represents a complex programming model in comparison to the simple RPC model. In contrast, the xbind binding interfaces and programming paradigm is based on a set of CORBA IDL and RPC mechanisms. In comparison to capsule-based programmability the xbind approach is rather static in nature and the programming model less complex. These approaches represent two extremes of network programmability.

One could argue that quasi-static APIs based on RPC is a limited and restrictive approach. A counter argument is that the process of introducing and managing APIs is less complex than the capsule-based programming paradigm, representing a more manageable mechanism for service composition and service control. Similarly one could argue that active message and capsule-based technologies are more 'open' because of the inherent flexibility of their network programming models given that capsules can graft new APIs onto routers at runtime. The xbind approach lacks this dynamic nature at the cost of a simplified programming environment. Other projects adopt hybrid approaches. For example the mobiware toolkit combines the static APIs with the dynamic introduction of Java service plug-ins when needed [7]. A clear movement of the field is to open up the networks and present APIs for programming new architectures, services and protocols. As we discuss in the next section the field is arguing that the switches, routers and base stations should open up ultimately calling for open APIs everywhere.

### **6.2 Virtualization and Resource Partitioning**

Many projects use virtualization techniques to support the programmability of different types of communication abstractions. The Tempest framework [33] presents a good example of the use of virtualization of the network infrastructure. Low-level physical switch interfaces are abstracted creating sets of interfaces to switch partitions called switchlets. Switchlets allow multiple control architectures to coexist and share the same physical switch resources (e.g., capacity, switching tables, name space, etc.). Typically, abstractions found in programmable networks are paired with safe resource partitioning strategies that enable multiple services, protocols and different programmable networking architectures to coexist. Virtualization of the network in this manner presents new levels of innovation in programmable networks that have not been considered before. All types of network components can be virtualized and made programmable from switches and links [15] to switchlets [33], active nodes [40], routelets [13] and virtual networks [21], [34], [13].

The NodeOS interface [40] provides a similar abstraction to node resources. The use of open interfaces allows multiple network programming environments (or execution environments using active networking terminology)



to coexist within a common physical node architecture. In this case, the ANEP [5] protocol provides encapsulation as a mechanism for delivering packets to distinct execution environments.

Using encapsulation in this manner allows for different overlay execution environments (e.g., ANTS, Switchware, or Netscript) to execute on the same router using a single, common node kernel. The notion of virtualization is not a new concept, however. Similar motivation in the Internet community has led to the advent of the Mbone. New directions in the virtualization of the Internet have prompted the proposal for X-bone [44], which will provide a network programming environment capable of dynamically deploying overlay networks. Other projects such as Supranet [23] advocate tunneling and encapsulation techniques for the separation and privacy among coexisting, collaborative environments.

### 6.3 Programmable Virtual Networking

The dynamic composition and deployment of new services can be extended to include the composition of complete network architectures as virtual networks. The Netscript project [49] supports the notion of Virtual Active Networks [21] over IP networks. Virtual network engines interconnect sets of virtual nodes and virtual links to form virtual active networks. The Tempest framework [34] supports the notion of virtual networks using safe partitioning over ATM hardware. Tempest offers two levels of programmability. First, network control architectures can be introduced over long time scales through a ‘heavyweight’ deployment process. Second, ‘lightweight’ application-specific customization of established control architectures take place over faster time scales. The abstraction of physical switch partitions within the Tempest framework has led to the implementation of multiple coexisting control architectures. The Tempest strategy aims to address QOS through connection-oriented ATM technology and investigates physical resource sharing techniques between alternative control architectures. Both Darwin [17] and Netscript [49] projects support the notion of sharing the underlying physical infrastructure in a customized way as well. As discussed in the previous section, the NodeOS [40] project also provides facilities for coexisting execution environments.

### 6.4 Spawning Networks

In [13] we describe spawning networks, a new class of programmable networks that automate the creation, deployment and management of distinct network architectures “on-the-fly”. The term “spawning” finds a parallel with an operating system spawning a child process, typically operating over the same hardware. We envision programmable networks as having the capability to spawn not processes but complex network architectures [31]. The enabling technology behind spawning is the Genesis Kernel [13], a virtual network operating system that represents a next-generation approach to the development of network programming environments.

A key capability of Genesis is its ability to support a virtual network life cycle process for the creation and deployment of virtual networks through:

- profiling, which captures the “blueprint” of a virtual network architecture in terms of a comprehensive profiling script;
- spawning, which executes the profiling script to set-up network topology, and address space and bind transport control and management objects into the physical infrastructure; and
- management, which supports virtual network architecting and resource management.

Virtual networks, spawned by the Genesis Kernel operate in isolation with their traffic being carried securely and independently from other networks. Furthermore, “child” networks, created through spawning by “parent” networks inherit architectural components from their parent networks, including life cycle support. Thus a child virtual network can be a parent (i.e., provider) to its own child networks, creating a notion of “nested virtual networks” within a virtual network.

## 7. CONCLUSION

In this chapter, we have discussed the state-of-the-art in programmable networks. We have presented a set of characteristics for programmable networks, which has allowed us to better understand the relationship between

the existing body of work. We believe that a number of important innovations are creating a paradigm shift in networking leading to higher levels of network programmability. These are the separation of hardware from software, availability of open programmable interfaces, virtualization of the networking infrastructure, rapid creation and deployment of new network services and safe resource partitioning and coexistence of distinct network architectures over the same physical networking hardware. Programmable networks provide a foundation for architecting, composing and deploying virtual network architectures through the availability of open programmable interfaces, resource partitioning and the virtualization of the networking infrastructure. We believe that a key challenge is the development of programmable virtual networking environments based on these foundations.

## 8. REFERENCES

- [1] ABONE, Active network Backbone, <http://www.csl.sri.com/ancors/abone/>
- [2] Adam, C.M., Lazar, A.A., Lim, K.-S., and Marconcini, F., "The Binding Interface Base Specification Revision 2.0", *OPENSIG Workshop on Open Signalling for ATM, Internet and Mobile Networks*, Cambridge, UK, April 1997.
- [3] Alexander, D.S., Arbaugh, W.A., Hicks, M.A., Kakkar P., Keromytis A., Moore J.T., Nettles S.M., and Smith J.M., "The SwitchWare Active Network Architecture", *IEEE Network Special Issue on Active and Controllable Networks*, vol. 12 no. 3, 1998.
- [4] Amir E., McCanne S., and Katz R., "An Active Service Framework and its Application to real-time Multimedia Transcoding", *Proceedings ACM SIGCOMM' 98*, Vancouver, Canada
- [5] Alexander D.S., Braden B., Gunter C.A., Jackson W.A., Keromytis A.D., Milden G.A., and Wetherall D.A., "Active Network Encapsulation Protocol (ANEP)", *Active Networks Group Draft*, July 1997
- [6] Angin, O., Campbell, A.T., Kounavis, M.E., and Liao, R.R.-F., "The Mobicore Toolkit: Programmable Support for Adaptive Mobile Networking", *IEEE Personal Communications Magazine, Special Issue on Adaptive Mobile Systems*, August 1998.
- [7] Balachandran, A., Campbell, A.T., and Kounavis, M.E., "Active Filters: Delivering Scalable Media to Mobile Devices", *Proc. Seventh International Workshop on Network and Operating System Support for Digital Audio and Video*, St Louis, May, 1997.
- [8] Bershad, B.N., et al., "Extensibility, Safety and Performance in the SPIN Operating System", *Fifth ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, Copper Mountain, December 1995.
- [9] Biswas, J., et al., "The IEEE P1520 Standards Initiative for Programmable Network Interfaces" *IEEE Communications Magazine, Special Issue on Programmable Networks*, October, 1998.
- [10] Braden, B., "Active Signaling Protocols", *Active Networks Workshop*, Tucson AZ, March 1998.
- [11] Calvert, K. et al, "Architectural Framework for Active Networks", *Active Networks Working Group Draft*, July 1998.
- [12] Calvert, K. et al, "Directions in Active networks", *IEEE Communications Magazine, Special Issue on Programmable Networks*, October 1998.
- [13] Campbell A.T., De Meer H.G., Kounavis M.E., Miki K., Vicente J.B., and Villela D., "The Genesis Kernel: A Virtual Network Operating System for Spawning Network Architectures", *Second International Conference on Open Architectures and Network Programming (OPENARCH)*, New York, 1999.
- [14] "CANEs: Composable Active Network Elements", <http://www.cc.gatech.edu/projects/canes/>
- [15] Chan, M.-C., Huard, J.-F., Lazar, A.A., and Lim, K.-S., "On Realizing a Broadband Kernel for Multimedia Networks", *3rd COST 237 Workshop on Multimedia Telecommunications and Applications*, Barcelona, Spain, November 25-27, 1996.
- [16] Chen and Jackson, Editorial, *IEEE Network Magazine, Special Issue on Programmable and Active Networks*, May 1998

- [17] Chandra, P. et al., "Darwin: Customizable Resource Management for Value-added Network Services", *Sixth IEEE International Conference on Network Protocols (ICNP'98)*, Austin, October 1998.
- [18] Coulson, G., et al., "The Design of a QOS-Controlled ATM-Based Communications System in Chorus", *IEEE Journal of Selected Areas in Communications*, vol.13, no.4, May 1995.
- [19] Cplane Inc., [www.cplane.com](http://www.cplane.com)
- [20] DARPA Active Network Program, <http://www.darpa.mil/ito/research/anets/projects.html>, 1996.
- [21] Da Silva, S., Florissi, D. and Yemini, Y., "NetScript: A Language-Based Approach to Active Networks", *Technical Report, Computer Science Dept., Columbia University* January 27, 1998.
- [22] Decasper, D., Parulkar, G., Plattner, B., "A Scalable, High Performance Active Network Node", *IEEE Network*, January 1999.
- [23] Delgrossi, L. and Ferrari D., "A Virtual Network Service for Integrated-Services Internetworks", *7th International Workshop on Network and Operating System Support for Digital Audio and Video*, St. Louis, May 1997.
- [24] Engler, D.R., Kaashoek, M.F. and O'Toole J., "Exokernel: An Operating System Architecture for Application-Level Resource Management", *Fifth ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, Copper Mountain, December 1995.
- [25] Feldmeier, D.C., et al. "Protocol Boosters", *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Special Issue on Protocol Architectures for the 21st Century*, 1998.
- [26] Ferguson, P. and Huston, G., "What is a VPN?", *OPENSIG'98 Workshop on Open Signalling for ATM, Internet and Mobile Networks*, Toronto, October 1998.
- [27] Hartman, J., et al., "Liquid Software: A New Paradigm for Networked Systems", *Technical Report 96-11, Dept. of Computer Science, Univ. of Arizona*, 1996.
- [28] Hicks, M., et al., "PLAN: A Programming Language for Active Networks", *Proc ICFP'98*, 1998.
- [29] Kulkarni, A.B. Minden G.J., Hill, R., Wijata, Y., Gopinath, A., Sheth, S., Wahhab, F., Pindi, H., and Nagarajan, A., "Implementation of a Prototype Active Network", *First International Conference on Open Architectures and Network Programming (OPENARCH)*, San Francisco, 1998.
- [30] Lazar, A.A., "Programming Telecommunication Networks", *IEEE Network*, vol.11, no.5, September/October 1997.
- [31] Lazar, A.A., and A.T Campbell, "Spawning Network Architectures", *Technical Report, Center for Telecommunications Research, Columbia University*, 1997.
- [32] Liao, R.-F. and Campbell, A.T., "On Programmable Universal Mobile Channels in a Cellular Internet", *4th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MOBICOM'98)* , Dallas, October, 1998
- [33] Van der Merwe, J.E., and Leslie, I.M., "Switchlets and Dynamic Virtual ATM Networks", *Proc Integrated Network Management V*, May 1997.
- [34] Van der Merwe, J.E., Rooney, S., Leslie, I.M. and Crosby, S.A., "The Tempest - A Practical Framework for Network Programmability", *IEEE Network*, November 1997.
- [35] DARPA Active Network Mail List Archives, 1996. [http://www.ittc.ukans.edu/Projects/ Activenets](http://www.ittc.ukans.edu/Projects/Activenets)
- [36] Montz, A.B., et al., "Scout: A Communications-Oriented Operating System", *Technical Report 94-20, University of Arizona, Dept. of Computer Science*, June 1994.
- [37] Mobiware Toolkit v1.0 source code distribution <http://www.comet.columbia.edu/mobiware>
- [38] Multiservice Switching Forum (MSF) , [www.msforum.org](http://www.msforum.org)
- [39] Open Signaling Working Group [comet.columbia.edu/opensig/](http://comet.columbia.edu/opensig/)

- [40] Peterson L., "NodeOS Interface Specification", *Technical Report, Active Networks NodeOS Working Group*, February 2, 1999
- [41] Schwartz, B., Jackson, W.A., Strayer W.T., Zhou, W., Rockwell, R.D., and Partridge, C., "Smart Packets for Active Networks", *Second International Conference on Open Architectures and Network Programming (OPENARCH)*, New York, 1999.
- [42] Tennenhouse, D., and Wetherall, D., "Towards an Active Network Architecture", *Proceedings, Multimedia Computing and Networking*, San Jose, CA, 1996.
- [43] Tennenhouse, D., et al., "A Survey of Active Network Research", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, January 1997.
- [44] Touch, J. and Hotz, S., "The X-Bone", Third *Global Internet Mini-Conference in conjunction with Globecom '98* Sydney, Australia, November 1998.
- [45] Wetherall, D., Gutttag, J. and Tennenhouse, D., "ANTS: A Toolkit for Building and Dynamically Deploying Network Protocols", *Proc. IEEE OPENARCH'98*, San Francisco, CA, April 1998.
- [46] Vinoski, S., "CORBA: Integrating Diverse Applications Within Distributed Heterogeneous Environments", *IEEE Communications Magazine*, Vol. 14, No. 2, February, 1997.
- [47] xbind code <http://comet.columbia.edu/xbind>
- [48] Xbind Inc., [www.xbind.com](http://www.xbind.com)
- [49] Yemini, Y., and Da Silva, S, "Towards Programmable Networks", *IFIP/IEEE International Workshop on Distributed Systems: Operations and Management*, L'Aquila, Italy, October, 1996.