## Problems in Approximation Algorithms

CIS800

Due: November 11th, 2010

- **Exercise 1.** (a) Recall the LP relaxation for the set cover problem done in class. We showed that the integrality gap of the LP is at most f, where f is the maximum frequency of an element. For all f, construct an instance of set cover where the frequencies are bounded by f and  $opt = f \cdot lp$ .
  - (b) Construct an example of a set cover with n elements such that  $opt = \Omega(\log n) \cdot lp$ . **Hint:** Consider a set system with k sets and  $\binom{k}{k/2}$  elements with each element in k/2 sets.

**Exercise 2.** Consider the knapsack problem: we are given a knapsack of size B and n single copy items with item j having profit  $p_j$  and weight  $w_j$ . Assume  $w_j \leq B$  for all j. The goal is to pick a subset of items which fits into the knapsack and gives maximum profit. The LP relaxation is as follows:

$$\max\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j: \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j x_j \le B; \quad 0 \le x_j \le 1, \ \forall j \in [n]\}$$

Let x be a basic feasible solution of the above LP.

- (a) Let  $F := \{j : 0 < x_j < 1\}$ . What can you say about |F|?
- (b) Can you use the above to get a 1/2-approximation for the knapsack problem?
- (c) What is the integrality gap of the above LP?
- (d) Can you get a better approximation if we have the guarantee that p<sub>j</sub> ≤ ε · opt for all items j? Can you use this to get a (1 ε) approximation in time n<sup>O(1/ε)</sup>?
  Hint: There cannot be more than 1/ε items having profit p<sub>j</sub> > ε · opt in the final solution.

**Exercise 3.** Let's investigate LP relaxations for the max-cut problem. We'll think of a cut as a  $\{0,1\}$  assignment on the vertices, and an edge is in the cut if its endpoints are assigned different values.

(a) (Undirected Graphs.) Let's have a variable  $x_{uv}$  for each edge (u, v) and a variable  $y_u$  for each vertex  $u \in V$ . Consider the following linear program

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{lp}_U &:= \max \qquad \sum_{(u,v)\in E} w_{uv} x_{uv} \qquad 0 \le x, y \le 1 \qquad (1) \\ &\text{subject to} \qquad x_{uv} \le y_u + y_v \qquad \forall (u,v) \in E \\ & x_{uv} \le 2 - (y_u + y_v) \qquad \forall (u,v) \in E \end{aligned}$$

- Prove that (1) is a valid LP relaxation for the max-cut problem.
- Design an algorithm which returns a cut of weight at least  $lp_U/2$ .
- Show that the 2 above cannot be replaced by any smaller constant; that is the integrality gap of the LP is arbitrarily close to 1/2.
- (b) (Directed Graphs.) As before, we have a variable  $x_{uv}$  for every directed edge (u, v) and  $y_u$  for every vertex. Consider the following linear program

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{lp}_D := \max \qquad \sum_{(u,v)\in E} w_{uv} x_{uv} \qquad \qquad 0 \le x, y \le 1 \qquad (2) \\ & \quad \text{subject to} \qquad x_{uv} \le y_v \qquad \qquad \forall (u,v) \in E \\ & \quad x_{uv} \le 1 - y_u \qquad \qquad \forall (u,v) \in E \end{aligned}$$

- Prove that (2) is a valid LP relaxation for the max-cut problem in directed graphs.
- What's the best upper and lower bounds you can prove on the integrality gap of this LP?

**Hint:** You might want to recall that we already have local search algorithms which return cuts of weight w(E)/2 and w(E)/4 for the two cases respectively.

**Exercise 4.** Consider the following problem called maximum budgeted allocation (MBA). There are *m* items and *n* agents. Each agent *i* bids  $b_{ij}$  on item *j*, and has a budget  $B_i$ . On getting a subset *S* of items, agent *i* pays min  $(B_i, \sum_{j \in S} b_{ij})$ . The problems is to find an allocation of items to agents which generates the maximum revenue.

Cast this problem as that of maximizing a submodular function over a matroid constraint and argue there exists a randomized (1-1/e) approximation algorithm for the problem. Check whether the two oracles can be simulated in polynomial time. 1. Value oracle: given set S, return f(S). 2. Independence Oracle: given set S, return in  $S \in \mathcal{I}$  or not.

Hint: Think partition matroids to capture that an item can go to at most one agent.

**Exercise 5.** Recall the definition of a weakly supermodular function r. For any two subsets  $A, B \subseteq V$ , at least one of the two holds

1. 
$$r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B) \ge r(A) + r(B)$$

2. 
$$r(A \setminus B) + r(B \setminus A) \ge r(A) + r(B)$$
.

Given an edge e, define the residual function r'(S) as follows: r'(S) = r(S) if  $e \notin \delta(S)$ ; otherwise r'(S) = r(S) - 1. Prove that r' is also weakly supermodular.