

# CS 30: Discrete Math in CS (Winter 2019): Lecture 29

Date: 4th March, 2019 (Monday)

Topic: Graphs: Proof of Hall's Theorem

Disclaimer: These notes have not gone through scrutiny and in all probability contain errors.

Please discuss in Piazza/email errors to [deeparnab@dartmouth.edu](mailto:deeparnab@dartmouth.edu)

---

## 1. Recap.

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is **bipartite** if there is partition of  $V = L \cup R$  such that  $L \cap R = \emptyset$  and for every edge  $e = (u, v) \in E$ , we have  $|\{u, v\} \cap L| = |\{u, v\} \cap R| = 1$ . That is, every edge has exactly one endpoint in  $L$  and exactly one endpoint in  $R$ .

A **matching**  $M$  in a graph is a subset of edges  $M \subseteq E$  such that for any  $e, e' \in M$ ,  $e \cap e' = \emptyset$ . That is,  $M$  is a collection of edges which do not share end points. A vertex  $v \in V$  participates in the matching  $M$  if there is an edge in  $M$  which is incident to  $v$ . In a bipartite graph  $G = (L \cup R, E)$ , a matching  $M \subseteq E$  is an  $L$ -matching if all vertices in  $L$  participate in  $M$ .

Given any subset  $S \subseteq L$ , we  $N_G(S)$  are the set of vertices in  $R$  which neighbors of some vertex in  $S$ . Hall's Theorem says the following.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a bipartite graph with  $V = L \cup R$ . Then,  $G$  has an  $L$ -matching if and only if

$$\text{For every subset } S \subseteq L, |N_G(S)| \geq |S| \quad (\text{Hall's Condition})$$

*Proof.* Again, one direction is easy. That is, if  $G = (L \cup R, E)$  has an  $L$ -matching, then we must have (Hall's Condition). Why? Suppose there exists an  $L$ -matching called  $M$ . Then for any  $S \subseteq L$ , consider the set  $T = \{v \in R : \exists u \in S : (u, v) \in M\}$ . That is, look at all the partners in  $M$ , of vertices in  $S$ . Clearly,  $T \subseteq N_G(S)$ , and thus,  $|N_G(S)| \geq |T|$ . And  $|T| = |S|$  since every vertex in  $S$  has a partner in  $M$  ( $M$  is an  $L$ -matching). So,  $|N_G(S)| \geq |S|$ .

The interesting direction is the converse. Given that (Hall's Condition) holds, we need to prove that  $G = (L \cup R, E)$  has an  $L$ -matching. The proof is by induction on the number of vertices, but it has layers. So hold tight.

Let  $P(n)$  be the predicate which is true if any bipartite graphs  $G = (L \cup R, E)$  with  $|L| = n$  satisfying (Hall's Condition) has an  $L$ -matching.

We need to show  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : P(n)$  is true; we proceed to prove this by induction.

**Base Case:** Is  $P(1)$  true? Fix any graph  $G = (L \cup R, E)$  with  $|L| = 1$ . Let  $L = \{v\}$ . (Hall's Condition) implies,  $\deg_G(v) \geq 1$ . So, there is some edge  $(v, w)$  incident on  $v$ .  $M = \{(v, w)\}$  is an  $L$ -matching. So,  $P(1)$  is true.

**Inductive Case:** Fix a natural number  $k$ . We assume  $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$  are all true. We wish to prove  $P(k+1)$ . To that end, we fix a bipartite graph  $G = (L \cup R, E)$  which satisfies (Hall's Condition) and  $|L| = k+1$ .

Let  $u \in L$  be an arbitrary vertex. (Hall's Condition) implies  $\deg(u) \geq 1$ , thus there is at least one edge  $(u, v) \in E$ . Pick one such edge *arbitrarily*. Consider the graph  $G' = G - \{u, v\}$ . That is, we delete  $u$  and then we delete  $v$ .  $G'$  is also a bipartite graph, with  $G = (L' \cup R', E')$  where  $L' = L - u$ ,  $R' = R - v$  and  $E' = E \setminus (N_G(u) \cup N_G(v))$ .

We now fork into two cases.

*Case 1:  $G'$  satisfies (Hall's Condition).* This is the easy case. Since  $|L'| = |L| - 1 = k$ , and since by the induction hypothesis,  $P(k)$  is true, we get that  $G'$  has an  $L'$ -matching; let's call it  $M'$ . Then,  $M := M' \cup (u, v)$  is the required  $L$ -matching in  $G$ . So in this case, we have proven  $P(k + 1)$ .

*Case 2:  $G'$  doesn't satisfy (Hall's Condition).* What does this mean? It means there is some subset  $S \subseteq L'$ , such that  $|N_{G'}(S)| < |S|$ . On the other hand, since  $G$  did satisfy (Hall's Condition), we have  $|N_G(S)| \geq |S|$ . Finally, note that the only way  $N_{G'}(S)$  and  $N_G(S)$  can be different is that if  $N_G(S)$  has the vertex  $v$  in it. And in that case,  $N_{G'}(S) = N_G(S) \setminus v$ .

Therefore, we have  $v \in N_G(S)$  and furthermore,  $|N_G(S)| = |S|$ ; if  $|N_G(S)| > |S|$ , then indeed,  $|N_G(S)| \geq |S| + 1$  because the LHS is an integer, which in turn implies  $|N_{G'}(S)| = |N_G(S)| - 1 \geq |S|$ .

Now, we consider two different graphs. We consider  $G_1 = G[S \cup N_G(S)]$  and  $G_2 = G[(L \setminus S) \cup (R \setminus N_G(S))]$ . Recall, the notion of induced subgraphs. It is also a good idea to draw a picture here for yourself.

**Claim 1.** Both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  satisfy (Hall's Condition).

*Proof.* Let's first prove for  $G_1$ . Any subset  $T \subseteq S$  has  $N_G(T) \subseteq N_G(S)$ . Thus,  $N_{G_1}(T) = N_G(T)$  as well. Since  $G$  satisfied (Hall's Condition), we get  $|N_{G_1}(T)| = |N_G(T)| \geq |T|$ . Thus,  $G_1$  satisfies (Hall's Condition).

Moving on to  $G_2$ . Fix a subset  $T \subseteq L \setminus S$ . What is  $N_{G_2}(T)$ ? Here is an useful observation:

$$N_{G_2}(T) = N_G(T) \setminus N_G(S) = N_G(S \cup T) \setminus N_G(S)$$

The first equality follows since the neighbors of  $T$  in  $G_2$  are precisely the neighbors of  $T$  in  $G$  which are not the neighbors of  $S$  in  $G$ . The second equality is the clever part; it is noting that even if we look at neighbors of  $S \cup T$  in  $G$  and remove the neighbors of  $S$ , we still get the neighbors of  $T$  in  $G$  which are not in  $N_G(S)$ . Why is this useful? Because,  $N_G(S) \subseteq N_G(S \cup T)$ . Thus, we know that  $|N_G(S \cup T) \setminus N_G(S)| = |N_G(S \cup T)| - |N_G(S)|$ .

Putting all together, we get

$$|N_{G_2}(T)| = |N_G(S \cup T)| - |N_G(S)| \geq |S \cup T| - |S| = |T|$$

where the inequality follows since  $|N_G(S \cup T)| \geq |S \cup T|$  by (Hall's Condition) and since  $|N_G(S)| = |S|$ , and the second equality follows since  $S \cap T = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

Since both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  satisfy (Hall's Condition), and since both  $|S|$  and  $|L \setminus S|$  are  $< |L|$ , by the induction hypothesis, we get that  $G_1$  has an  $S$ -matching called  $M_1$  and  $G_2$  has an  $L \setminus S$ -matching called  $M_2$ . Thus,  $M_1 \cup M_2$  is the  $L$ -matching in  $G$ . Done!  $\square$