This is one of the most commonly used styles of proof. When faced with a proposition $p$ (either in propositional logic, or predicate logic – often the latter) which we wish to prove true, we **suppose for the sake of contradiction** that $p$ were false. Then we logically deduce something **absurd** (like $0 = 1$ or $3$ is even), that is, something which we know to be false. This implies that our supposition (which is, $p$ is false) must be wrong. Therefore, the proposition $p$ must be true. This method of proving is also called **reductio ad absurdum** — reduction to absurdity.

Formally, in the jargon of logic, what the above argument captures is the fact that the following formula

$$(\neg p \Rightarrow \text{false}) \Rightarrow p$$

is a **tautology**. Can you deduce this from the equivalences?

A final word before we move on to concrete examples. Many times the false is obtained by showing that some other proposition $q$ holds as well as its negation. That is, we end up showing $(\neg p \Rightarrow (q \land \neg q))$. Interestingly, sometimes this proposition is $p$ itself.

Just this lecture, we write down the steps in a list so as to make sure all ideas are clear.

1. **A Simple Warm-up.**

   **Lemma 1.** For all numbers $n$, if $n^2$ is even, then $n$ is even.

   **Proof.**

   (a) Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, the proposition is **not true**.
   (b) That is, there exists a number $n$ such that $n^2$ is even but $n$ is not even. That is, $n$ is odd. (We negated the predicate logic statement).
   (c) Since $n$ is odd, $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer $k$.
   (d) This implies $n^2 = (2k + 1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$.
   (e) That is, $n^2$ is odd. This is a contradiction to $P(n^2)$, that is, $n^2$ is even.
   (f) Therefore, our supposition must be wrong, that is, the proposition is true.

   $\Box$

   **Exercise:** Mimic the above proof to prove: For any number $n$, if $n^2$ is divisible by 3, then $n$ is divisible by 3.
Exercise: Prove by contradiction: the product of a non-zero rational number and an irrational number is irrational.

2. A Pythagorean Theorem.

Theorem 1. $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof.

(a) Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $\sqrt{2}$ is indeed rational.
(b) Since $\sqrt{2}$ is rational, there exists two integers $a, b$ such that $\sqrt{2} = a/b$.
(c) By dividing out common factors, we may assume $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.
(d) Since $a/b = \sqrt{2}$, we get $a = \sqrt{2} \cdot b$. Squaring both sides, we get $a^2 = 2b^2$.
(e) Therefore $a^2$ is even.
(f) Lemma 1 implies that $a$ is even. And therefore $a = 2\ell$ for some $\ell$.
(g) Therefore, $a^2 = 4\ell$.
(h) Since $a^2 = 2b^2$, we get $4\ell = 2b^2$, which in turn implies $b^2 = 2k$. That is, $b^2$ is even.
(i) Lemma 1 implies that $b$ is even.
(j) Thus, we have deduced both $a$ and $b$ are even. This contradicts $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.
(k) Therefore, our supposition that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational must be wrong. That is, $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Exercise: Mimic the above proof to prove that $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational. How far can you generalize? Can you prove that $\sqrt{n}$ is irrational if $n$ is not a perfect square, that is, $n$ is not $a^2$ for some integer $a$?

3. A Euclidean Theorem. Here is another classic example of Proof by Contradiction.

Theorem 2. There are infinitely many primes.

Proof.

(a) Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, there were only finitely many primes.
(b) Let $q$ be the largest of these primes.
(c) Therefore, for any number $n > q$, $n$ is not a prime.
(d) Consider the number $n = q! + 1$. Recall, $q! = 1 \times 2 \times \cdots \times q$.
(e) Since $n > q$, this $n$ is not a prime.
(f) Therefore, there exists some prime $p$ such that $p | n$.
(g) Since $q$ is the largest prime, $p \leq q$.
(h) But this means $p | q!$, which means $p \nmid q! + 1$. That is, $p \nmid n$.
(i) We have deduced both $p | n$ and $p \nmid n$. Contradiction. Thus our supposition is wrong. There are infinitely many primes.

4. The AM-GM inequality

**Theorem 3.** If $a$ and $b$ are two positive real numbers, then $a + b \geq 2\sqrt{ab}$.

**Proof.**

(a) Suppose for the sake of contradiction, there existed positive reals $a, b$ with $a + b < 2\sqrt{ab}$.

(b) Since both sides of the above inequality are positive, we can square both sides. That is, $(a + b)^2 < (2\sqrt{ab})^2$.

*Please note how crucial the fact that both sides were positive is. Otherwise, we cannot square and maintain the inequality. And indeed, the theorem is incorrect for negative numbers. Consider $a = -1$ and $b = -1$. The RHS is 2 but the LHS is $-2$.*

(c) That is, $a^2 + 2ab + b^2 < 4ab$.

(d) That is, $a^2 - 2ab + b^2 < 0$.

(e) That is, $(a - b)^2 < 0$.

(f) But $(a - b)^2 \geq 0$, since it is a square. Thus, we have reached a contradiction.