

# CS 30: Discrete Math in CS (Winter 2020): Lecture 7

Date: 16th January, 2020 (X-hour)

Topic: Induction

*Disclaimer: These notes have not gone through scrutiny and in all probability contain errors.*

*Please discuss in Piazza/email errors to deeparnab@dartmouth.edu*

---

## 1. Making Life Easier.

In the inductive case mentioned last time, we needed to show  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$  is true. It actually suffices to prove an easier statement.

- **Base Case:**  $P(1)$  is true; and
- **Inductive Case:** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $P(n), P(n-1), \dots, P(1)$  is true (*the induction hypothesis*), then  $P(n+1)$  is true,

then,  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since we assume more things to prove the same thing, the above is often easier to establish. This way of proving is often called **strong induction**.

**Remark:** *Personally, I am not a big fan of these different names. In my day-to-day life, I call both of these methods just plain induction. But if it helps you, please make the distinction. I will try to do so in class.*

## 2. Prime Factorization.

**Theorem 1.** Every natural number  $\geq 2$  can be written as a product of primes and 1.

*Proof.* Let  $P(n)$  be the predicate which takes the value true if the number  $n$  can be written as a product of primes. We need to prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2 : P(n)$ . We proceed by induction.

**Base Case:** Note that the base case is  $P(2)$  (and not  $P(1)$  since that is not asserted to be true). Indeed  $2 = 2 \times 1$  can be written as a product of primes and 1; therefore  $P(2)$  is true.

**Inductive Case:** Fix a natural number  $k \geq 2$ . Assume  $P(k), P(k-1), \dots, P(2)$  are all true. We need to establish  $P(k+1)$ . That is, we need to prove  $(k+1)$  can be written as a product of primes and 1.

Case 1:  $(k+1)$  is a prime. In this case, there is nothing to show;  $(k+1) = (k+1) \times 1$  is a product of the single prime  $(k+1)$  and 1.

Case 2:  $(k+1)$  is *not* a prime. This implies, there exists two natural numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that (i)  $2 \leq a \leq k$  and  $2 \leq b \leq k$ , and (ii)  $(k+1) = a \cdot b$ .

By the inductive hypothesis,  $P(a)$  and  $P(b)$  are both true (note, the “weak” induction wouldn’t have told us this). Therefore,  $a$  can be written as product of primes and 1, and  $b$  can be written as a product of primes and 1, and therefore,  $a \cdot b$  can be written as a product of primes and 1. That is,  $(k+1)$  can be written as a product of primes and 1. We have therefore established  $P(k+1)$  is true.

By (strong) induction, therefore,  $\forall n \geq 2, n \in \mathbb{N} : P(n)$  is true. □

**Remark:** Does the theorem above prove that every natural number  $\geq 2$  can be **uniquely** written as a product of primes? It doesn't. Convince yourself of this fact. Hint:  $(k + 1)$  can indeed be written as  $a \cdot b$  and  $c \cdot d$  for different  $(a, b), (c, d)$  tuples. For example,  $36 = 4 \cdot 9 = 6 \cdot 6$ . Can you massage the above proof to prove uniqueness?

3. **The Change Problem.** In the country of Borduria, they have three types of coins: a cent, a szlapot, and a dinar. A szlapot is worth 3 cents and a dinar is worth 7 cents. You have an unending supply of szlapots and dinars; show that any amount  $\geq 12$  cents can be made with only szlapots and dinars.

You may have heard of similar such puzzles. In Math terms, it is stating the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Prove that any natural number  $n \geq 12$  can be expressed as  $3x + 7y$  for non-negative integers  $x$  and  $y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P(n)$  be the predicate taking the value true if there exist non-negative integers  $(x, y)$  such that  $n = 3x + 7y$ . We need to prove  $\forall n \geq 12, n \in \mathbb{N} : P(n)$ .

**Base Case:** Again, the base case here is  $P(12)$ , and indeed,  $12 = 3 \cdot 4 + 7 \cdot 0$ , and thus  $P(12)$  is true. With hindsight, we know that just checking this will not suffice. So we go ahead and check  $P(13)$  and  $P(14)$  as well. Indeed,  $13 = 3 \times 2 + 7 \times 1$ , and  $14 = 3 \times 0 + 7 \times 2$ .

**Inductive Case:** Since we have established  $P(12), P(13), P(14)$  we need to establish  $P(k)$  for  $k > 14$ . Fix a  $k \geq 14$ . The Induction Hypothesis is that  $P(12), P(13), \dots, P(k)$  are true. We now need to prove  $P(k + 1)$ . That is, we need to find a way to write  $(k + 1)$  as  $3x + 7y$  for some non-negative integers  $(x, y)$ .

To see this, consider the number  $m := (k + 1) - 3$ . Since  $k \geq 14$ , we see  $m \geq 12$ . Also,  $m < (k + 1)$ , and therefore,  $P(m)$  is true. That is, there exists non-negative integers  $(x', y')$  such that  $m = 3x' + 7y'$ . But  $(k + 1) = m + 3$ , and therefore,  $(k + 1) = 3(x' + 1) + 7y'$ . Since  $x' \geq 0, x' + 1 \geq 0$  as well. Therefore,  $(k + 1)$  is expressed as  $3x + 7y$  with non-negative integers  $x = x' + 1$  and  $y = y'$ . Thus,  $P(k + 1)$  is proved, and by induction,  $P(n)$  is proved for all  $n \geq 12$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** In fact, the above proof also shows that any number  $n \geq 12$  can be written as  $3x + 7y$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are non-negative integers and  $y \leq 2$ . Do you see it? Make sure you see it.

Note that the above proof also implies a *recursive* algorithm to find the changes for any given number  $N$ .

```

1: procedure FINDCHANGE( $N$ ):  $\triangleright N \geq 12$ . Returns  $(x, y)$  such that  $N = 3x + 7y$ .
2:    $\triangleright$  First take care of base cases
3:   if  $N = 12$  then: return  $(4, 0)$ .
4:   if  $N = 13$  then: return  $(2, 1)$ .
5:   if  $N = 14$  then: return  $(0, 2)$ .
6:    $\triangleright$  If we haven't returned yet, then  $N \geq 15$ . In which case, inductive case.
7:    $(x', y') \leftarrow$  FINDCHANGE( $N - 3$ )
8:   return  $(x' + 1, y')$ .

```

**Remark:** There is a generalization of this problem which is called the **Frobenius problem**. It asks, given  $n$  non-negative integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  such that  $\gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1$  (that is, there is no number  $> 1$  which divides all of the  $a_i$ 's), find the largest number which cannot be expressed as  $a_1 \cdot x_1 + a_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + a_n \cdot x_n$  for non-negative integers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Note that the above theorem shows that when  $a_1 = 3$  and  $a_2 = 7$ , the largest number is 11. So the answer to the Frobenius problem for  $(3, 7)$  is 11. Can you show that for any  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , there is some finite number  $F(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  which is the answer to the above question?

#### 4. Strengthening the Induction Hypothesis

Yesterday, in class we were stuck proving the following theorem by induction.

**Theorem 3.** For any natural number  $n$ , prove that  $(1 + \frac{1}{n})^n \geq 2$ .

I am going to use this as an opportunity to tell one quite non-intuitive facts about proofs by induction:

*It is often easier to prove something stronger.*

What do I mean? Well, consider the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Fix any  $x \geq -1$ . Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $(1 + x)^n \geq 1 + nx$ .

Observe that Theorem 4 implies Theorem 3; indeed, if we set  $x = \frac{1}{n}$  we get the statement of Theorem 3. Therefore, it should be only *harder* to prove Theorem 4. Turns out, that is not the case.

*Proof.* Fix an arbitrary real  $x \geq -1$ . Let  $P(n)$  be the predicate which is true if  $(1 + x)^n \geq 1 + nx$ . We need to show  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : P(n)$  is true. We proceed by induction.

**Base Case.** Let us first establish  $P(1)$  is true. That is,  $(1 + x)^1 \geq 1 + 1 \cdot x$ ; indeed they are equal.

**Inductive Case.** Fix a natural number  $k \geq 1$  and assume  $P(k)$  is true. That is, we assume

$$(1 + x)^k \geq 1 + kx \quad (\text{IH})$$

We need to show  $P(k + 1)$  is true. That is, we need to show

$$(1 + x)^{k+1} \geq 1 + (k + 1)x \quad (\text{Need to Show})$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + x)^{k+1} &= (1 + x)^k \cdot (1 + x) \\ &\geq (1 + kx) \cdot (1 + x) \quad 1 + x \geq 0 \text{ since } x \geq -1. \\ &= 1 + kx + x + kx^2 \\ &\geq 1 + (k + 1)x \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $P(k + 1)$  is true, and we have proved the theorem via induction. □