Opportunities to Improve a Mobile Obesity Wellness Intervention for Rural Older Adults with Obesity
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Abstract
Older adults with obesity are at a high risk of decline, particularly in rural areas. Our study objective was to gain insights into how a potential Mobile Health Obesity Wellness Intervention (MOWI) in rural older adults with obesity, consisting of nutrition and exercise sessions, could be helpful to improve physical function. A qualitative methods study was conducted in a rural community, community-based aging center. Four community leaders, 7 clinicians and 29 patient participants underwent focus groups and semi-structured interviews. All participants had a favorable view of MOWI and saw its potential to improve health and create accountability. Participants noted that MOWI could overcome geographic barriers and provided feedback about components that could improve implementation. There was expressed enthusiasm over its potential to improve health. The use of technology in older adults with obesity in rural areas has considerable promise. There is potential that this intervention could potentially extend to distant areas in rural America that can surmount accessibility barriers. If successful, this intervention could potentially alter healthcare delivery by enhancing health promotion in a remote, geographically constrained communities. MOWI has the potential to reach older adults with obesity using novel methods in geographically isolated regions.
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Introduction
Older adults with obesity are at a risk of functional decline [1] which threatens their capacity to live independently [2]. Strategies focusing on lifestyle management only modestly provide safe and effective weight loss [3] as efforts fail to address disability attributed to weight loss-induced sarcopenia [4]. Effective therapies for older, mobility-impaired adults are needed, particularly in rural regions—which
have both the fastest growing population over 65 years and high rates of obesity [5]. Implementing a standard facility-based program is impractical because patients must travel long distances to obtain care and few specialized services exist in remote environments [5]. Mobile health (mHealth) technologies may provide a solution for delivering elder-specific health interventions that overcome these barriers [6, 7]. Older adults are more technologically connected than previous [8], yet it is unknown how to leverage the promise of technology in delivering effective behavioral change to this at-risk, difficult-to-reach population [6].

A mHealth Obesity Wellness Intervention (MOWI) program, with an adaptable, self-monitoring mHealth device coupled with nutrition and exercise sessions was developed. This intervention will use home-based telehealth systems to overcome rural geographic limitations. The purpose of this study aimed at improving the intervention’s acceptability and potential for uptake in rural older adults with obesity by interviewing patients, clinicians, and community leaders to inform efforts prior to intervention implementation [9].

Methods

MOWI (Fig. 1) is a 26-week program that includes evidenced-based strategies of caloric restriction, activity, and intensive behavioral therapy [10–12]. The intervention includes weekly individual dietary counseling (15–20 min), biweekly group exercise sessions (70–90 min), and an mHealth device with ecological momentary assessment and physical activity monitoring. The dietician sessions consist of behavioral modification [13] and motivational interviewing [10] providing individualized programs of caloric restriction (500–750 kcal/day), high protein (1–1.5 g/kg/day) [14], and 800 IU vitamin D supplementation [15]. The exercise sessions focus on progressive resistance, flexibility, balance and aerobic activity at moderate intensity [16]. Exercises include upper- and lower-limb motions with adjustable cuff weights and resistance bands (e.g. Therabands); resistance training targeting major muscle groups, with increasing workloads; flexibility exercises including static stretches (30–60 s); neuromotor training (static, dynamic, vestibular, Tai Chi) addressing agility, balance, and coordination. Participants are instructed to perform their own aerobic activity (minimum 10 min bouts; total 150 min/week). All participant–research team contact will occur via HIPAA-compliant videoconferencing on a tablet.

To evaluate MOWI’s feasibility prior to deployment, focus groups and semi-structured interviews during the 6 months after research approval were conducted. In a rural, academic setting, clinicians, patients, and community leaders were interviewed to enhance the credibility of findings by including diverse stakeholder perspectives. All participants provided a signed, informed consent document and the study was approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at Dartmouth College. The study team anticipated different insights and viewpoints. All sampling was purposive. Clinicians were recruited from the academic practice. Community leaders who led local aging initiatives were approached using

---

**Fig. 1** Picture of intervention and behavioral feedback
local networking/email lists. All patients were recruited through local listservs from our local interdisciplinary aging center, or through posters in clinic areas. Theoretical saturation was achieved when the team determined that no new data or concepts and themes were present.

The questions (Appendix 1), followed by clarifying probes to encourage elaboration, were part of a larger discussion on technology, behavioral change, and obesity stigma in older adults. This approach was designed and guided by the interdisciplinary team. Each focus group and individual patient interviews were conducted by two formally trained investigators whose purpose was to assess feasibility of MOWI. MOWI was described using a slide presentation with participants handling the mHealth device.

All interview audio-recordings were transcribed and uploaded into Dedoose, a qualitative software program. Codes were derived and generated three domains by inductive transcript review and structural codes were based on focus group and interview guides. Multiple researchers coded data to increase confidence in reporting the results and a third researcher resolved disagreements. Patient quantitative data were collected and descriptive statistics analyzed.

Results

The cohort consisted of 4 community leaders, 7 clinicians, and 29 patients, had mean ages of 64.3 (50% female), 46.7 (71.4% female), and 72.9 years (55% female), respectively. Patient’s body mass index was 32.9 ± 2.5 kg/m² and 97% had previous technology exposure. Below, key qualitative findings related to benefits (Table 1), barriers, and missing intervention components (Table 2) are reported.

Benefits of MOWI

All groups agreed that MOWI would achieve its objectives towards promoting healthier lifestyle among rural older adults with obesity. Participants perceived that virtual group interaction would improve overall experience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Illustrative quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>Engagement with others</td>
<td>Clinician 3: So the ability to engage in this activity and engage, in fact, in some social activity as well without having to disrupt their routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context</td>
<td>Within home setting</td>
<td>Community 1: Yeah, especially with the time commitment and the ability to be able to do it from their own home, I think is going to be huge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Community 4: So, to be in a group with people where you can still see what your value is and um, against the things that you’re working on and so there’s a, and you see other people struggling. So I just think that multidimensional relationship with some people who are all working together will be a very cool element I guess.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Functional limitations</td>
<td>Clinician 2: They can do it at their own home so transportation which I had mentioned as an issue will not be that much of a concern and they can even do it in the winter months. Like when access is going to be like you know they can do it even at home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
<td></td>
<td>Focus Group 5: keep that hope out there that we don’t all have to end up with some of these disabling, devastating diseases and disorders if we get on board with this train of better health, you know, there’s so much that we can do in small ways, everyday, to improve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability</td>
<td>Excuses</td>
<td>Patient 3: I think the accountability factor. If you say yes to participating, and you have sort of these monitors or obligations and—and it’s been made fairly easy for you to participate, I—I think that’s what’s going to be critical—is you’re accountable and you really have little in the way of excuse. I guess depending on the timing of—of—the meetings, you know, that’s going to be a factor, but so, yeah. Community 1: They’re also going to be working on—having more accountability for their own health. Clinician 6: I think the structure and the accountability would make things more likely to happen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinician-2: There’s that sense of being part of a group.

Participant-6: There’s energy in the group, if...you’re like “oh I’m really tired today. I don’t really want to do this” and you get in there...and
environments, including time, weather and distance.

**Table 2** Participant feedback: barriers/missing features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Internet access</th>
<th>Clinician 7: And the lack of Internet and the lack of, I mean I guess if they are technophobic, they might not have Internet access because they can’t get it but they also might just not be interested in that kind of thing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td>Invasive</td>
<td>Clinician 2: something unless they can be assured that they have that Internet connection wherever they travel because they will travel within that 6-month period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>Schedule or season</td>
<td>Participant 2: That’s not going to be a hindrance [video-conferencing] if they were already tech savvy to being with and if they have strong Internet connection then it’s a must anyway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>Gatherings</td>
<td>Patient 4: Well my wi-fi signal is good. (chuckle) So that could be a problem for some people in, in a rural area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providers</td>
<td>Missing providers</td>
<td>Focus Group 4: Well I … No, for me, I mean, it’s embarrassing. My computer skills are so limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td></td>
<td>Expertise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Focus Group Participant-4: You just do it because it’s a group activity/participation...

Clinician-4: If transportation is the barrier, this gets around that.

Focus Group Participant-4: If weather and roads are a problem and my husband

Clinician 1: I see some people not liking, not wanting other people and I can see some people being motivated by that so option to try it both ways. And is the exercise group, are they doing it? Is it essentially like an exercise video where you are mimicking the exercises

Clinician 1: And then so is there a HIPPA concern? Or anything like that? Or does everybody sign waivers? Are they all in this

Clinician 1: And the lack of Internet and the lack of, I mean I guess if they are technophobic, they might not have Internet access because they can’t get it but they also might just not be interested in that kind of thing

Clinician 2: something unless they can be assured that they have that Internet connection wherever they travel because they will travel within that 6-month period

Participant 2: That’s not going to be a hindrance [video-conferencing] if they were already tech savvy to being with and if they have strong Internet connection then it’s a must anyway

Patient 4: Well my wi-fi signal is good. (chuckle) So that could be a problem for some people in, in a rural area.
Focus Group Participant-5: For people to go a long distance twice week would be a challenge, and this kind of overcomes that geographic piece.

Participants identified that MOWI has the potential to improve health by providing an accountability structure.

Patient-7: I would say it’s amazing. And this [MOWI components]….I would have no excuses for being healthier.

Clinician-1: I think it’s hard to make excuses with something this easy…but I think it’s setup so well that you can do it in your home…It would be hard for them to not participate.

Barriers to Using MOWI

Despite the overall positive response toward MOWI, participants identified important barriers pertaining to technology availability and seasonality specific to older adults that could impact the uptake and use of this intervention. Participants raised few privacy concerns.

Clinician-7: There’s a subset of people excluded by virtue of not having a screen or not having Wi-Fi access.

Community-2: I think that anytime you bring technology into that conversation with a lot of these folks, they immediately say, “That’s not for me.” They are resilient enough and they’ve learned and know these things.

Patient-6: They don’t want to divulge information like this. People are kind of funny about their weight. You don’t [want to be] embarrassed.

Missing Components in MOWI

Participants noted potential missing components of MOWI, including regular social connectedness.

Patient-6: Do you need a psychologist, I don’t know?…You have your MD or nurse practitioner, your nutritionist, your physical therapist for the exercise, and then add a psychologist…

Patient-8: …the lack of social connection…… you can be in the greatest of health and you’re going to go downhill.

Discussion

Participants saw the potential of MOWI to achieve weight loss and improved function and the ability to improve social health, accessibility, and accountability. Improving these factors can positively affect health behavior change [17, 18] suggesting that MOWI may offer a holistic approach to improving health in this population.

It is often said that technology can pose challenges for elders without relevant technical skills. The patient cohort in this study was savvy in using technology and lack of technical expertise did not emerge as a major concern. Older adults are the fastest growing demographic using technology, and studies have proven their ability to learn new skills [19]. This knowledge and data will inform how technology can be integrated into MOWI. For instance, the device’s user-interface can be simplified to ease navigation of its functions, and educational sessions on technology can be conducted. This initial usability step will help address early difficulties and enable users to engage effectively with mHealth tools.

Participants emphasized the importance of social connectedness to foster accountability, and community support, which can positively influence health [17, 18]. MOWI’s structure (individual dietitian and group exercise sessions) may contribute to a sense of social connectedness. Group sessions allow individuals to feed off others’ thoughts and struggles, while individual sessions provide more personalized support. Both can be conducted via video-conferencing that can be accessed continually and conveniently.

A rural population was targeted, so the intervention must address physical, technological, and financial barriers [20]. Participants noted distance, transportation and time difficulties when traveling frequently to receive care. Telemedicine alleviates much of this burden through remote consultation and instruction. Though technological barriers exist, such as poor Internet connections [21], they will decline as Internet accessibility increases. Financial concerns can be addressed through emerging legislation covering remote technologies and health promotion strategies. Proving MOWI’s effectiveness is an important next step to facilitate widespread dissemination.

This current analysis has limitations. Participants were chosen through purposive sampling and data was self-reported. Academic physicians were included and community leaders worked favorably with our organization. The sample lacked racial and ethnic diversity, reflecting high levels of education, and most were Internet users, suggesting the need for diverse older adult populations in future studies.
Conclusions

This study provides preliminary findings on the potential acceptability and value of implementing a rural, telehealth-delivered intervention for older adults with obesity. Limited transportation and mobility present challenges for rural elderly in engaging in health promotion and may result in social isolation and reduced motivation to engage in behavioral change. MOWI may provide a perception of connectedness that fosters accountability and social support to potentially overcome these challenges, necessitating a future effectiveness study.

Clinical Implications

- mHealth technologies have the potential to augment behavioral change in older adults with obesity
- Video-conferencing is of particular importance in that it could potentially allow the delivery of interventions to individuals without regular access to obesity care
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