CS 10: Problem solving via Object Oriented Programming Winter 2017

> Tim Pierson 260 (255) Sudikoff

Day 20 – Pattern Recognition



#### 1. Pattern matching vs. recognition

- 2. From Finite Automata to Hidden Markov Models
- 3. Decoding: Viterbi algorithm
- 4. Training

#### Last class we discussed how to use a Finite Automata to match a pattern



Pattern matching vs. recognition



#### Matching Recognition

Is this a duck?

Pattern matching vs. recognition



Looks like a duck





Is this a duck?

Pattern matching vs. recognition

Is this a duck?

Pattern matching vs. recognition

		Matching	Recognition
Is this a duck?	Looks like a duck		
	Quacks like a duck		
	Does not wear cool eyewear		

Pattern matching vs. recognition

		Matching	Recognition
Is this a duck?	Looks like a duck		
	Quacks like a duck		
	Does not wear cool eyewear		
	Is it a duck?		



- 1. Pattern matching vs. recognition
- 2. From Finite Automata to Hidden Markov Models
  - 3. Decoding: Viterbi algorithm
  - 4. Training

#### Weather model: possible states



#### Weather model: transitions



We can observe weather patterns and determine probability of **transition** between states

Weather model: Sunny day example



Probability a sunny day is followed by:

Weather model: Sunny day example



Probability a sunny day is followed by:

• Another sunny day 80%

#### Weather model: Sunny day example



Probability a sunny day is followed by:

- Another sunny day 80%
- A cloudy day 15%

#### Weather model: Sunny day example



Probability a sunny day is followed by:

- Another sunny day 80%
- A cloudy day 15%
- A rainy day 5%

Weather model: predict two days in advance



Weather model: predict two days in advance



Given today is sunny, what is the probability it will be rainy two days from now?

Could be sunny, then rainy

Weather model: predict two days in advance



Given today is sunny, what is the probability it will be rainy two days from now?

Could be sunny, then rainy

Weather model: predict two days in advance



Given today is sunny, what is the probability it will be rainy two days from now?

Could be sunny, then rainy (0.8\*0.05)

Weather model: predict two days in advance



- Could be sunny, then rainy (0.8\*0.05)
- Could be cloudy, then rainy

Weather model: predict two days in advance



- Could be sunny, then rainy (0.8\*0.05)
- Could be cloudy, then rainy

Weather model: predict two days in advance



- Could be sunny, then rainy (0.8\*0.05)
- Could be cloudy, then rainy (0.15\*0.3)

Weather model: predict two days in advance



- Could be sunny, then rainy (0.8\*0.05)
- Could be cloudy, then rainy (0.15\*0.3)
- Could be rainy, then rainy

Weather model: predict two days in advance



- Could be sunny, then rainy (0.8\*0.05)
- Could be cloudy, then rainy (0.15\*0.3)
- Could be rainy, then rainy

Weather model: predict two days in advance



- Could be sunny, then rainy (0.8\*0.05)
- Could be cloudy, then rainy (0.15\*0.3)
- Could be rainy, then rainy (0.05\*0.6)

Weather model: predict two days in advance



- Could be sunny, then rainy (0.8\*0.05)
- Could be cloudy, then rainy (0.15\*0.3)
- Could be rainy, then rainy (0.05\*0.6)

```
Total = (0.8*0.05)
+ (0.15*0.3) +
(0.05*0.6) = 0.115 26
```

## Markov property suggests it doesn't really matter how we got into the current State

Given current State, can predict likelihood of future states



Adapted from: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b328/2eb0509442b80760fea5845e158168daee62.pdf

Given that we can observe the state we are in, it doesn't really matter how we got there:

- Probability of weather at time n, given the weather at time n-1, and at n-2, ...
- Is approximately equal to the probability of weather at time n given only the weather at n-1
- $P(w_n | w_{n-1}, w_{n-2}, w_{n-3}) \approx P(w_n | w_{n-1})$

## Model works well if we can directly observe the state, what if we cannot?

Sometimes we cannot directly observe the state

- You're being held prisoner and want to know the weather outside. You can't see outside, but you can observe if the guard brings an umbrella.
- You observe photos of your friends. You don't know what city they were in, but do know something about the cities. Can you guess what cities they visited?
- You want to ask for a raise, but only if the boss is in a good mood. How can you tell if the boss is in a good mood if you can't tell by looking?

## Want to ask the boss for raise when the boss's state is a Good mood

Gather stats about likelihood of states



- Can't know boss's mood for sure simply by looking (state is hidden)
- Want to know current state (Good or Bad)
- Could ask everyday and record statistics about it
- Assume boss answers truthfully
  - Ask 100 times
  - 60 times good
  - 40 times bad
- Boss slightly more likely to be in good mood (60% chance)

#### In addition to states, find likelihood of transitioning from one state to another

#### Gather stats about state transitions



- Watch boss on day after asking about mood, ask again next day
- Calculate probability
  of staying in same
  mood or transitioning
  to another mood
  (hidden state)
- Similar to how weather transitioned states

### Once have states and transitions, might find something we *can* directly observe

Might be able to observe music playing



- Might observe what music the boss plays
  - Blues, Jazz or Rock
  - Record stats about music choice when in either mood (hidden states)

### Once have states and transitions, might find something we *can* directly observe

Might be able to observe music playing



- Might observe what music the boss plays
  - Blues, Jazz or Rock
  - Record stats about music choice when in either mood (hidden states)

#### This is a Hidden Markov Model (HMM)



 States (boss's mood) are hidden, can't be directly observed

But, we *can* observe something (music) that can help us calculate the most *likely* hidden state

#### So is today a good day to ask for a raise?



- Given no other
   information, it's a
   pretty good bet the
   boss in Good mood
- Good mood = 0.6
- Bad mood = 0.4
  - Yes, on any given day boss is slightly more likely to be in a good mood

## By observing music, we might be able to get a better sense of the boss's mood!

**Observe Rock music** 



- Say today we observe the boss is playing Rock music
  - Should we ask for a raise?
- Good mood =
   0.6\*0.5 = 0.3
- Bad mood =
   0.4\*0.1 = 0.04
- Most likely a good day to ask!

#### Bayes theorem can give us the actual probabilities of each hidden state

**Observe Rock music** 



G=Good, B=Bad, R=Rock


- 1. Pattern matching vs. recognition
- 2. From Finite Automata to Hidden Markov Models
- 3. Decoding: Viterbi algorithm
  - 4. Training



Given no observations, can make a guess at true state

Guess state with highest score



If we make an observation, we might be able to increase our accuracy

Multiply previous score by likelihood of observation

Most likely in a Good mood (~8X more likely)

Should ask for a raise



If we make an observation, we might be able to increase our accuracy

Multiply previous score by likelihood of observation

Most likely in a Good mood (~8X more likely)

Should ask for a raise



What if you chicken out and don't ask today?

Tomorrow you observe Jazz, should you ask now?









# Viterbi algorithm back tracks to find most likely state sequence given observations



# HMMs and Viterbi algorithm used in a number of fields such a monitoring health



Prof. Campbell's *BeWell* app uses smart phone sensor data and HMM to estimate health behavior of users over time

Given sequence of sensor data, what was the subject's most likely health state on each day

Lane N, Mohammod M, Lin M, Yang X, Lu H, Ali S, et al. BeWell: A smartphone application to monitor, model 47 and promote wellbeing. *International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare*; 2011.

HMMs allow us to determine the most likely sequence of state transitions Key points

We can't directly the hidden state and know the true state with certainty

If there is something we *can* observe, we might be able to *infer* the true state with greater accuracy than guessing

Given a sequence of observations we can determine the most likely state transitions over time



- 1. Pattern matching vs. recognition
- 2. From Finite Automata to Hidden Markov Models
- 3. Decoding: Viterbi algorithm



Annotated training data gives transition probabilities

#### Situation:

Have a diary with of number of ice cream cones eaten each day (observations)

Want to reconstruct the weather (hidden state) when the cones were eaten

#### Annotated training data gives transition probabilities

#### **Diary entries:**

- 1. Hot day today! I chowed down three whole cones.
- 2. Hot again. But I only ate two cones; need to run to the store and get more ice cream.
- 3. Cold today. Still, the ice cream was calling me, and I ate one cone.
- 4. Cold again. Kind of depressed, so ate a couple cones despite the weather.
- 5. Still cold. Only in the mood for one cone.
- 6. Nice hot day. Yay! Was able to eat a cone each for breakfast, lunch, and dinner.
- 7. Hot but was out all day and only had enough cash on me for one ice cream.
- 8. Brrrr, the weather turned cold really quickly. Only one cone today.
- 9. Even colder. Still ate one cone.
- 10. Defying the continued coldness by eating three cones.

#### Annotated training data gives transition probabilities

#### **Diary entries:**

- 1. Hot day today! I chowed down three whole cones.
- 2. Hot again. But I only ate two cones; need to run to the store and get more ice cream.
- 3. Cold today. Still, the ice cream was calling me, and I ate one cone.
- 4. Cold again. Kind of depressed, so ate a couple cones despite the weather.
- 5. Still cold. Only in the mood for one cone.
- 6. Nice hot day. Yay! Was able to eat a cone each for breakfast, lunch, and dinner.
- 7. Hot but was out all day and only had enough cash on me for one ice cream.
- 8. Brrrr, the weather turned cold really quickly. Only one cone today.
- 9. Even colder. Still ate one cone.
- 10. Defying the continued coldness by eating three cones.

#### Hidden states: Hot (4 days) or Cold (6 days) Observations: 1, 2, or 3 ice cream cones eaten

Count of observations: 4 hot days, 6 cold days



**Count of observations: transitions between hidden states** 



Count of observations: cones eaten when hot



Count of observations: cones eaten when cold



# Convert observations into probabilities by dividing count by total count

**Probabilities based on observations** 



# Convert observations into probabilities by dividing by total count, then use logs

**Probabilities based on observations** 



Repeatedly multiplying probabilities quickly leads to very small numbers

This can cause numerical precision issues

log(mn) = log(m) + log(n)

To calculate Viterbi, add logs of each factor instead of multiplying

# Convert observations into probabilities by dividing by total count, then use logs

#### Log probabilities based on observations



Repeatedly multiplying probabilities quickly -0.97 leads to very small numbers

This can cause numerical precision issues

log(mn) = log(m) + log(n)

To calculate Viterbi, add logs of each factor instead of multiplying

#	Observation	nextState	currrentState	currScore+transScore +observation	nextScore
Start	n/a	Start	n/a	0	0

#	Observation	nextState	currrentState	currScore+transScore +observation	nextScore
Start	n/a	Start	n/a	0	0
0	Two cones	Cold	Start	0-0.22-0.77	-0.99
		Hot	Start	0-0.4-0.6	-1.0

#	Observation	nextState	currrentState	currScore+transScore +observation	nextScore
Start	n/a	Start	n/a	0	0
0	Two cones	Cold	Start	0-0.22-0.77	-0.99
		Hot	Start	0-0.4-0.6	-1.0
1	Three cones	Cold	Cold	-0.99-0.97-0.77	<del>-2.73</del>
		Cold	Hot	-1-0.3-0.77	-2.07
		Hot	Cold	-0.99-0.7-0.3	- <del>1.99</del>
		Hot	Hot	-1-0.3-0.3	-1.6

#	Observation	nextState	currrentState	currScore+transScore +observation	nextScore
Start	n/a	Start	n/a	0	0
0	Two cones	Cold	Start	0-0.22-0.77	-0.99
		Hot	Start	0-0.4-0.6	-1.0
1	Three cones	Cold	Cold	-0.99-0.97-0.77	<del>-2.73</del>
		Cold	Hot	-1-0.3-0.77	-2.07
		Hot	Cold	-0.99-0.7-0.3	- <u>1.99</u>
		Hot	Hot	-1-0.3-0.3	-1.6
2	Two cones	Cold	Cold	-2.07-0.97-0.77	<del>-3.81</del>
		Cold	Hot	-1.6-0.3-0.77	-2.67
		Hot	Cold	-2.07-0.7-0.6	<del>-3.37</del>
		Hot	Hot	-1.6-0.3-0.6	-2.5

Most likely {Hot,Hot,Hot}

#	Observation	nextState	currrentState	currScore+transScore +observation	nextScore
Start	n/a	Start	n/a	0	0
0	Two cones	Cold	Start	0-0.22-0.77	-0.99
		Hot	Start	0-0.4-0.6	(-1.0)
1	Three cones	Cold	Cold	-0.99-0.97-0.77	-2.73
		Cold	Hot	-1-0.3-0.77	-2.07
		Hot	Cold	-0.99-0.7-0.3	-1.99
		Hot	Hot	-1-0.3-0.3	(-1.6)
2	Two cones	Cold	Cold	-2.07-0.97-0.77	-3.81
		Cold	Hot	-1.6-0.3-0.77	-2.67
		Hot	Cold	-2.07-0.7-0.6	-3.37
		Hot	Hot	-1.6-0.3-0.6	-2.5

Most likely {Hot,Hot,Hot}